1				
2			RSWA BOARD OF DIRECTORS	S
3			<b>Minutes of Regular Meeting</b>	
4			January 23, 2024	
5			•	
_		_	 	

7 8 A regular meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority (RSWA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, January 23, 2024, at 2:00 p.m., Rivanna Administration Building (2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room), 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA, 22902.

9 10

11

**Board Members Present:** Mike Gaffney (participating remotely), Jeff Richardson, Jim Andrews, Brian Pinkston, Lance Stewart, Samuel Sanders, Steven Hicks

12 13

**Board Members Absent:** None

14 15

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Phil McKalips, David Rhoades, Lonnie Wood, Betsy Nemeth, Deborah Anama, Jacob Woodson, Leah Beard, George Cheape

16 17 18

**Attorney(s) Present:** Valerie Long (participating remotely)

19 20

21

# 1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Richardson convened the January 23, 2024 regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority at 2:00 p.m.

22 23 24

Mr. Andrews moved that the Board allow Mr. Gaffney to participate remotely in the meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Sanders and passed unanimously (6-0).

25 26 27

Mr. Gaffney stated that he was located in Cape Coral, Florida.

28 29

Mr. Mawyer noted that Valerie Long, counsel to the Board, was also participating remotely, but they did not require a vote to allow her participation.

30 31 32

# 2. AGENDA APPROVAL

33 34

At 2:05 p.m., Mr. Andrews moved that the Board approve the agenda as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pinkston and passed unanimously (7-0).

35 36 37

# 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING

a. Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board on November 14, 2023

38 39 40

At 2:05 p.m., Mr. Pinkston moved that the Board approve the minutes of the November 14, 2023 Board meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Andrews and passed unanimously (7-0).

42 43

44

41

# 4. RECOGNITION

There were no recognitions.

45 46 47

#### 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Mawyer gave a warm welcome to Steven Hicks, the newly appointed City Public Works Director and Rivanna Solid Waste Board Member. He stated that the day before, he had the privilege of giving Steven a tour of the Ivy MUC and McIntire Recycling Center. He stated that it was good to get to know Steven better and officially welcome him to their Board. He stated that he also wanted to congratulate their distinguished Board members, Mr. Andrews, who was now the newly elected Chair of the Albemarle Board of Supervisors, and Brian Pinkston, who was elected as the Vice Mayor of City Council.

Mr. Mawyer stated that this year's Board was particularly distinguished. He congratulated and thanked them for rejoining for another year. He stated that he also wanted to recognize one of their new employees and introduced Leah Beard, Rivanna's Human Resources Manager. Ms. Beard had come to Rivanna from the UVA Credit Union and the UVA Medical School. He stated that she possessed a master's degree in public administration.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he would like to introduce George Cheape. He stated that George had recently been promoted from his position as a construction inspector to become their Safety Manager. He stated that Liz Coleman, their former safety manager, left them and went to the health department. He stated that they were pleased that Mr. Cheape was available to become their new Safety Manager for solid waste and water and sewer. He stated that George had been with them for five and a half years. He stated that Mr. Cheape held a distinguished position as an elected official in Nelson County on the School Board.

Mr. Mawyer reported that they continued to receive an increasing amount of refuse at Ivy. He stated that currently they handled approximately 200 tons per day, which was a significant five-fold increase from the 40 tons per day in 2019. He stated that the red graph at the top of the slide illustrated this trend, as the tonnage was increasing with each year. He stated that the disposal contractor transferred this waste each day to a private landfill in Henrico County.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they received a \$7,500 grant from the Department of Environmental Quality for recycling education. He stated that they used these funds to create gift packs for first to third grade students, which they distributed during school visits to RSWA facilities. He stated that tomorrow, 55 schoolchildren would visit Ivy for a tour and receive gift bags as part of their experience.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they celebrated the holiday season with a team-building event in December. He stated that they held this event in this conference room and enjoyed a nice lunch together. He stated that a student from the Darden Business School proposed an idea for an app called Simply Scraps. He stated that the idea was that users of the app could bring scraps of compost to McIntire or Ivy and earn points that were redeemable at restaurants in Charlottesville.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the program had not stated yet, but they would be participating if it came to fruition. He stated that in their communication and collaboration strategic plan goal, Phil McKalips and Betsy Nemeth joined forces to create an agreement with WNRN 91.9 to feature public service announcements on the radio twice a day for special collections and once per day otherwise.

 Mr. Mawyer stated that their organization aimed to reach out to the community by improving the visibility of their events through a strategic plan. He stated that they utilized various resources, such as radio broadcasts, to promote these events. He stated that one significant event was the Spring Special Collection Days held in April and May, where they accepted a variety of items including

electronic waste, household hazardous waste, furniture, mattresses, appliances, and tires on different days. He stated that this program was free for customers, and both the City and County supported it.

100 101

102

103

104

105

98

99

Mr. Mawyer stated that last year Mr. Andrews asked about preventing debris on Dick Woods Road and improving load coverage issues. He stated that to address this, they had created signs that would be posted at the landfill, reminding haulers to cover their loads. He stated that they also reaffirmed their commitment to the Adopt-a-Highway program with VDOT, which involved pickups along Dick Woods Road. He stated that they were ensuring compliance with all VDOT program regulations. He stated that their efforts had led to progress in resolving this issue.

106 107 108

#### 6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

109

# There were none.

110 111

# 7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENT

As there were no items from the public, there were no responses.

112 113 114

#### 8. CONSENT AGENDA

115 116 a. Staff Report on Finance

117

b. Staff Report on Ivy Material Utilization Center/Recycling Operations Update

118 119

c. Staff Report on Administration and Communications

120 121

d. Approval of Contract for Repair and Repaving Asbestos Disposal Area – S.L. Williamson Co.

122 123 124

At 2:14 p.m., Mr. Andrews moved that the Board approve the Consent Agenda as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pinkston and passed unanimously (7-0).

125 126 127

# 9. OTHER BUSINESS

128 129 130 a. Presentation and Vote on Approval: Large Clean Fill Program Expansion Phil McKalips, Solid Waste Division Director

131 132

133

134

Mr. McKalips stated that he would like to present an update on the status of the large clean fill program and also discuss the future direction for this program. He stated that on the slide was a photograph that depicted some of the work going on with the large clean fill program. He stated that on the next slide, the panoramas displayed illustrated the evolution of the clean fill area over time, reaching its current grade.

135 136 137

Mr. McKalips stated that as of January, some capacity remained in the area. He stated that the current facility area, situated to the north of the landfill disposal cells, was initially designed to accommodate approximately 290,000 tons of material. He stated that by the beginning of the month, around 260,000 tons had been received, generating nearly \$900,000 in revenue.

140 141 142

143

144

145

146

147

138

139

Mr. McKalips stated that with roughly 30,000 tons of capacity remaining, additional capacity could be added by slightly increasing the height to match the road level. He stated that this brought them up-to-date regarding the usage of this facility until the middle of the next calendar year. He stated that they had approximately one to one and a half years' worth of continued capacity where they were currently operating. He stated that the question arose as to what they should do after this period.

Mr. McKalips stated that the program had been working well, providing a service to the community. He stated that as a result, they began searching for new areas to expand into at the site. He stated that they identified two potential locations. He stated that one was an existing clean fill area near the transfer station, and the second was a piggyback disposal area. He stated that he would discuss both these options in more detail. He stated that the existing facility had the advantage of using existing roads to access it.

Mr. McKalips stated that both new clean fill areas would require construction of access roads. He stated that the next slide showed an aerial view of the entire development they were discussing. He stated that in the bottom center, one could observe the transfer station, the site entrance, and the existing large clean fill, which had been previously established and had about one year left of capacity. He stated that the other clean fill area had never been filled and would be the first area considered for expansion.

Mr. McKalips stated that they originally thought about bringing the traffic into the site with the transfer station traffic, but it created congestion in an already congested section of roadway at the site. He stated that to effectively utilize this area, he believed installing the Area 1 access road would be necessary. He stated that the area had a capacity of two or three years. He stated that beyond that, there was one significant area yet to be filled, referred to as Area 2.

Mr. McKalips stated that the concept involved piggybacking, which meant constructing on top of existing disposal cells. He stated that this fill area would cover the entire roads and valleys between landfill cells, as demonstrated in the yellow area depicted on the slide. He stated that because that was filling in over the top of the existing roads, they now would not be able to reach the back of the site unless they developed an access road for Area 2.

Mr. McKalips stated that one could see that the future location of the bailing facility was there. He stated that when they started filling in Area 2, to gain access to the bailing facility, to receive vegetation, and to access the leachate pond, or any other infrastructure on the north side of the site, they would need to utilize the Area 2 access road. He stated that Area 1 was located near the transfer station he had shown them.

Mr. McKalips stated that the location had approximately 240,000 tons of new disposal capacity, which would last for about a year or two depending on current usage rates and potentially a little longer. He stated that the return on investment at the current tipping fee of \$3.50 per ton would be around \$840,000. He stated that as he stated earlier, an additional access road was required to manage traffic flow. He stated that the next slide showed a detailed view of the area, where the transfer station was located down below, and the scale house could be found there.

Mr. McKalips stated that to access this area, one would come in from the existing haul road, turn off onto the landfill, and then meet the disposal area there. He stated that like the current large clean fill program, additional stormwater controls had been incorporated into the design. He stated that they already had approved existing stormwater controls at the site; however, due to this being a large open area of fill, they decided it was best to incorporate additional controls.

Mr. McKalips stated that the next disposal area, which represented the last generation of major clean fill disposal, would piggyback on the existing disposal cells by filling in the valleys between them. He stated that this area had a capacity of approximately 750,000 tons and was estimated to

last for seven to ten years. He stated that they were looking into a horizon of 10 to 12 or even 15 years of disposal capacity at Ivy. He stated that this would generate around \$2.6 million in revenue.

Mr. Mawyer asked if Mr. McKalips could elaborate on what qualified as clean fill.

Mr. McKalips stated that clean fill included soil, blocks, bricks, and asphalt. He stated that it could contain reinforcing steel but could not be sticking out from the concrete. He stated that it was non-organic, so it did not include roots or sod. He stated that it was referred to as "inert material" in the County's ordinance.

Mr. Richardson asked what assumption was made in terms of the fee.

Mr. McKalips stated that with their existing fee of \$3.50, it was estimated that there would be 750,000 tons disposed over seven to 10 years, so it would result in approximately \$2.6 million.

Mr. Andrews asked if they would address the fee at another point in time.

Mr. McKalips stated that Ivy had an existing clean fill program that charged \$10 per ton, making it unattractive for large construction projects such as the VDOT Diverging Diamond project at Pantops and some at UVA. He stated that the projects often required significant basement excavations or area fill projects involving thousands of tons of material. He stated that \$10 a ton was too expensive for contractors dealing with 20,000 – 40,000 tons of material.

Mr. McKalips stated that they tended to avoid the program due to the high cost. He stated that they considered alternative methods and discovered that it was in the contractors' best interest to provide the labor themselves for the grading, as well as maintaining the roads using bulldozers and other equipment. He stated that it came out to cost between \$3 and \$4.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they contracted with them to provide large disposals over a limited period, generally between six and 12 months.

Mr. McKalips stated that they wanted the program to be fairly large, because if it was too small, they would have contractors coming and going. He stated that it only worked well if there was one contractor managing this large clean fill area, because it would be solely responsible for the site. Mr. McKalips stated that on the next slide, the image demonstrated how the large fill area would include filling the valleys between the cells, resulting in a single, monolithic hill. He stated that it would extend to the east but not far enough to interfere with Dominion's solar project, which would be constructed on top of this location if market conditions allow.

Mr. McKalips stated that the proposed site would provide additional space for further solar capacity or other future uses at the site. He stated that because they were filling in the roads, they needed to find ways to create access to the rear of the site, specifically to the north side. He stated that the plan was to utilize the existing roads in this area as much as possible and then grade and widen the new road to connect with their current roads at the back of the site. He stated that they were requesting the board to approve their plan to expand the large clean fill program.

Mr. McKalips stated that they identified two potential approaches, which were updating the existing agreement and having the contractors build access roads as part of their responsibilities or, if that process was not supported by the Virginia Public Procurement Act, proceeding with a traditional design, bid process to build the roads. He stated that the first option would result in no additional

cost since the contractor would be compensated through the capacity of large clean fill and return to paying the tipping fee of \$3.50 per ton.

Mr. Andrews asked if they were still deciding between the two options.

Mr. McKalips stated that our legal Counsel was looking at details regarding whether or not they could accomplish this through special condition portions of the large clean fill agreement that currently existed.

Ms. Long stated that they were still investigating the matter, but they believed it was possible to include it. She stated that they wanted to spend more time working with Mr. McKalips and Mr. Mawyer to ensure everything complied.

Mr. McKalips stated that they acknowledged it was a nontraditional path to move forward, but there were many benefits to doing it having the contractor managing the clean fill site to build the access road to the site.

Ms. Long stated given that the newly proposed fill areas were not otherwise accessible without these roads, their opinion was that it was consistent with the requirement for participants to have an access road.

Mr. Andrews asked how the contractors were compensated.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they currently paid us \$3.50 per ton. He stated that the contractor was responsible for completing all the grading and compaction tasks. He stated that if we incorporated an additional access road into the Clean Fill Agreement, it would require further consideration to reduce that cost, or they would allocate space for them at the clean fill site at no cost in order to compensate for building the road.

Mr. Gaffney stated that since the first clean fill area was completed on existing roads, and these areas were zero-sum, as opposed to them doing it at their cost, whether it be through billing everyone afterwards or otherwise, they would still aim to net \$3.50 per ton in the long run.

Mr. Mawyer stated that was correct. He stated that whether an area was allocated with a reduced or zero cost, that would help offset the expense of building the road. He stated that otherwise, the \$3.50 per ton price beyond that area would remain unchanged. He stated that in a separate area within that space, they could receive a reduced rate based on the estimated cost of the road and the requirements following collaboration with the County and other relevant entities regarding road expenses. He stated that they would balance the two costs and the revenues.

Mr. Andrews asked if it was correct that there was only one hauler at a time.

Mr. McKalips stated yes, that was the intent of the program.

Mr. Andrews asked if that meant that when this was taking place, there would probably be one hauler who ran it.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they publicly solicited for any contractor to apply for one of these agreements. He stated that in the past two years, there had only been one applicant. He stated that there was not an overwhelming demand for this opportunity because it involved a large quantity of

297 10,000 tons to be delivered over a short period of time; consequently, few contractors were 298 interested in such a program.

Mr. McKalips stated that the last applicant was Curtis Construction, and they ended up placing all their excess clean fill at the 118 interchange. He stated that they were among the parties who approached them and managed to secure a better cost with VDOT for that fill.

Mr. Andrews asked if it included asphalt and other materials.

Mr. McKalips stated that it was whatever materials they had in the road construction project.

Mr. Richardson asked if Mr. McKalips was requesting the Board to approve the staff's next steps with the large clean fill program.

Mr. Mawyer stated that there were two sites, Area 1 and Area 2, and they would work out with Counsel to ensure they were following the public procurement process and funding process correctly before asking the Board to make any decision.

Mr. Andrews asked how frequently the rates were set.

Mr. McKalips stated that they reviewed and updated the rates annually, posting and re-advertising them as necessary. He stated that in March, they would have a budget proposal and in May the Board would be asked to approve the rates. He stated that if they decided the design-build process was the best way to go and the County was the sole recipient and payer of revenues and costs for this program because it fell within the Operations cost center, so any associated costs could warrant a reassessment to whether an adjustment was necessary.

Mr. Stewart stated that Mr. McKalips had contacted him a few weeks ago about the concept, and during their discussion, they explored it generally. He stated that he had raised questions about the appearance or potential appearance of impropriety regarding the method of directly contracting with that entity, and recommended consultations with attorneys to ensure compliance with Virginia Public Procurement requirements.

Mr. Stewart stated that those who frequently handle large-scale construction projects understood that this was a complex world to navigate. He stated that he expressed some concerns, and Mr. Mawyer had conducted due diligence on this matter. He stated that this morning they exchanged emails regarding cash flow obligations to the county, timing, and he was supportive of this concept.

Mr. Stewart stated that he was concerned about certain details concerning the method of project delivery and the financial impact and rollout over time that needed further evaluation. He stated that as a result, his view was that this board might consider requesting staff to reach a definitive point where they return with a more refined recommendation in terms of both project delivery and the upfront costs, if any, and revenue impacts to Albemarle County over the next few years.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they agreed with Mr. Stewart's recommendation. He stated that the first consideration was for the board to support expanding the large clean fill program, and then they could return to the board with the precise method of funding and procurement.

345 Mr. Pinkston asked if it was necessary for them to incorporate this requirement into the resolution they would approve.

Mr. Richardson stated that they were considering expanding the large clean fill program. He stated that the final details regarding the process would be presented to the Board at a later date.

At 2:36 p.m., Mr. Pinkston moved to approve the large clean fill expansion. The motion was seconded by Mr. Stewart and passed unanimously (7-0).

(recess RSWA in a JOINT SESSION with the RWSA)

At 2:37 p.m., Mr. Andrews moved to recess the meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pinkston and passed unanimously (7-0).

At 3:34 p.m., Mr. Andrews moved to reconvene the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board. The motion was seconded by Mr. Sanders, which passed unanimously (7-0).

a. Presentation: Employee Healthcare and retirement Benefits Overview Betsy Nemeth, Director of Administration and Communications

Ms. Nemeth stated that she would provide an overview of employee healthcare and retirement benefits. She stated that they worked with an insurance broker named One Digital from Charlottesville. She stated that they handled their medical, dental, and vision providers. She stated that they also collaborated with their medical providers when issuing requests for proposals. She stated that One Digital reworked these proposals based on previous experiences.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the company also assisted them with additional health care benefits such as flexible spending accounts, health reimbursement accounts, and the administrators for their COBRA program, which was required by law. She stated that for health care, specifically medical insurance, they used Anthem. She stated that they had been using Anthem for about eight years. She stated that there were two plans, which were HealthKeepers Health Savings Account plan and HealthKeepers Point of Service (POS) plan.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the first plan was a high deductible one with deductibles of \$3,000 for employee-only coverage, \$6,000 for employee, spouse, employee, and children, and \$6,000 for employee and family. She stated that the second POS plan had lower deductibles, which were \$1,000 for employee-only coverage and \$2,000 for employee plus whomever their dependents were. She stated that a health savings account was provided to all employees participating in the HSA plan, and Rivanna contributed to employees' accounts each July.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the contributions depended on the type of coverage; an employee received \$1,000, while an employee and spouse received \$1,500. She stated that in addition to the health savings account plan, which had a high deductible, there was a health reimbursement arrangement on the back end. She stated that this arrangement was only provided for employee-only participants due to IRS requirements. She stated that when reaching their deductible amount, she stated they would reimburse employees up to \$1,000, covering the range from \$2,000 to \$3,000 in total expenses.

Ms. Nemeth stated that unfortunately, the IRS did not allow them to provide this arrangement for employees with dependents on the plan. She stated that they offered Flexible Spending Accounts for participants in the HealthKeepers POS plan. She stated that a Flexible Spending Account allowed an employee to make a pre-tax deduction into the account, which could be used to pay

for healthcare expenses. She stated that this fiscal year, RWSA would contribute about \$1.4M and RSWA about \$0.3M for employee health insurance.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they got a renewal in the month of March, and she expected premiums to go up. She stated that they provided dental and vision insurance through Ameritas. She stated that the cost of dental coverage depended on the number of individuals being covered, such as employee-only or employee with spouse/family. She stated that Rivanna offered complimentary vision benefits for all employees and their dependents, should they have any. She stated that presented on the next slide were their medical insurance costs.

Ms. Nemeth stated that in the first column it showed what employees paid per month based on their chosen plan and coverage. She stated that the second column displayed the contributions made by Rivanna, followed by the total premiums. She stated that the information on the next slide presented Albemarle County's two plans, City of Charlottesville's three plans, and their own. She stated that all these entities fell within a similar range regarding employee costs.

She stated that the Rivanna Authorities participated in the Virginia Retirement System, which was a mandatory requirement for all employees working there. Ms. Nemeth stated that employees could not opt out of participation. She stated that the mandatory contribution was 5% of their credible contribution, calculated as hourly rate multiplied by 40 hours per week and 52 weeks per year. She stated that Rivanna Water and Sewer currently contributed 8.39% to each employee's credible contribution in the Virginia Retirement System, while the Solid Waste Authority contributed 4.86%. She stated that those numbers changed every two years, and she was slightly surprised that she did not have an update on her desk at the moment.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the change would be effective as of July 1, and they hoped to receive notification regarding the change this month. She stated that the Authorities are also required to provide VRS participants life insurance equal to two times each employee's annual salary. She stated that the funding was provided by the Rivanna Authority. She explained that VRS had three distinct plans: one for participants who began before July 1, 2010, and had at least five years of service prior to January 1, 2013; a second plan for those with less than five years of service by January 1, 2013; and a third hybrid plan she would explain in more detail later.

Ms. Nemeth stated that approximately 30% of their employees currently participate in Plan 1. She stated that Plan 1 was a defined benefit plan or pension plan. She stated that when they retired, they began receiving their pension. She stated that the pension was determined by years of service, the average of their highest 36 consecutive months of compensation, and a retirement multiplier of 1.7. She stated that if an employee retired after 30 years of service, they would receive approximately 51% of their average salary during those 36 months.

Ms. Nemeth stated that anyone who joined VRS between July 1, 2010, and December 31, 2013, or if they were hired before July 1, 2010, but did not have five years of service as of January 1, 2013, participated in Plan 2. She stated that only 6% of their employees were part of Plan 2, so the eligibility window was quite narrow. She stated that similar to Plan 1, it was a defined benefit plan, which meant it was a pension plan. She stated that the pension was based on years of service as well.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they extended the period from three years to five years and implemented a retirement multiplier of 1.65. She stated that consequently, an individual with 30 years of service retiring would receive a pension equivalent to 49.5% of their average salary during their

highest-five-year period. She stated that the hybrid plan was introduced by the Virginia Retirement System on July 1, 2014.

Ms. Nemeth stated that this plan was more complex than the other two options, but 64% of their employees were participating in it. She stated that the plan combines a defined benefit plan, which was a pension, and a defined contribution plan, where employees contribute to a 401(a) account. She stated that the pension was based on years of service, the average of an employee's highest 60 consecutive months of compensation, and a retirement multiplier of 1%. She stated that previously, individuals retiring after 30 years of service received a pension equal to 30% of their highest five-year salary average.

Ms. Nemeth stated that in addition, they were eligible to save an extra 4% of their credible compensation in a hybrid 457 retirement account. She stated that the hybrid retirement plan also required that the Rivanna Authorities pay for short term and long-term disability insurance. She stated that VaCo served as their short-term disability insurance company for this purpose, and the program was administered through Anthem Life. She stated that the same organization handled the employees' medical insurance needs.

Mr. Mawyer stated that over time, all of their employees would be a part of the hybrid plan, unless VRS changed the structure.

Ms. Nemeth stated that most people retiring were out of Plan 1, but it depended on the date of when someone joined the VRS.

Mr. Stewart stated that there was a significant difference between the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority employees and Water and Sewer Authority employees regarding their employer's contributions to the VRS. He stated that the disparity amounted to approximately 4%. He asked what the driver behind this was.

Ms. Nemeth stated that it was because the RWSA had hired a large number of additional employees, which led to increased investments.

Mr. Mawyer stated that VRS set those premiums.

Mr. Wood stated that in the early 2000s, the Solid Waste Authority had 20 to 30 employees. He stated that over time, the employee base decreased, so that employer liability on the retirement side went down. He stated that as new employees were added, the investment base increased. He stated that right now, Rivanna Solid Waste Authority was unique because they had a net investment balance rather than a net liability. He stated that this indicates a positive net asset value. He stated that almost every other political subdivision had a net pension liability.

Mr. Andrews stated that on the employee's side, it was primarily determined by years of service and date of employment.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the choice was how much the Authority funded for the health insurance. He stated that he was unsure of what the Authority used to do, but when he started there in 2016, the family plan cost about \$800 per month. He stated that he declined to join it and stayed on his wife's insurance through Henrico County. He stated that they had shifted some of that cost from the employee to the Authority, so that premium was now about \$400 for the family plan. He stated that although that amount still remained higher than the City or the County, it was much

better than \$800. He stated that they held premiums for their employees even if Anthem raised its premiums, Rivanna would absorb the increase and would not ask employees to pay more.

Mr. Andrews asked if they had considered spousal employers for coverage.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they did not require them, and they could still take a spouse on their plan even if the spouse could get coverage through their employer.

Ms. Mallek asked if they had an interval in which they put out the RFP for Anthem.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they did it every four years unless they believed they could get better rates by continuing with Anthem. She stated that they would be notified of the new rates for the next fiscal year in March, and if they felt the costs were too high, they could go on the market. She stated that the issue was that if they went to market, they had to share those high numbers, so she would likely not receive great proposals in return. She stated that if she declined to share those numbers, the other entities would assume the renewal was terrible and propose increased rates anyway.

Mr. Wood stated that the Authority was different from the City and the County in this way. He stated that the City and County were self-insured, which allowed them to have control over their claims data. He stated that in contrast, the Authority did not have control over their data. He stated that during the last bidding process, they only received one bid because only one company had access to the necessary data.

Mr. Richardson asked how many people were covered by their plan.

Ms. Nemeth stated that it was most of the employee population, amounting to 110 individuals.

Mr. Richardson asked if dependents were in addition to that.

Ms. Nemeth stated yes, in that case it would be over 200 people.

Mr. Mawyer stated that family plans were ones with significant expenses for the Authorities.

Ms. Nemeth stated that there were 14 families on the HSA plan and 7 on the POS plan, so 21 family plans in total. She stated that in comparison, the monthly cost for an employee on the family high-deductible HSA plan was \$360, while it was \$454 for the POS plan. She stated that 70 employees were enrolled in the employee-only health plan option. She stated she was comfortable suggesting that this difference in cost may be a factor in the employees' decision, and this had a benefit for the organization.

Mr. Mawyer asked what the monthly employee premium was.

Ms. Nemeth stated that for the HSA plan, the payment was \$5 per month, and on the POS plan, they paid \$35 per month.

Ms. Mallek stated that the family would not be covered in that instance. She stated that it was a significant advantage for employees with families to have the insurance.

Ms. Nemeth stated that in some cases, she suspected that family coverage at other employers

might be higher than the one provided by the current employer. She stated that if a spouse was insured under another employer's plan, it could potentially be more expensive. She stated that insurance could be challenging.

b. Presentation: Strategic Plan Update
Betsy Nemeth, Director of Administration and Communications

Ms. Nemeth stated that their vision is to serve the community as a recognized leader in environmental stewardship by providing exceptional water and solid waste services. She stated that their mission is their knowledgeable and professional team serving the Charlottesville, Albemarle, and UVA communities by providing high-quality water and wastewater treatment, refuse, and recycling services in a financially responsible and sustainable manner.

Ms. Nemeth stated that she wanted to share this information but would not read it aloud. She stated that their values were defined during their new strategic plan. She stated that prior to the 2023 plan, they had integrity, teamwork, respect, and equality. She stated that there were five priorities: communications and collaboration, environmental stewardship, workforce development, optimization and resiliency, and planning and infrastructure.

Ms. Nemeth stated that she would provide an update on communications and collaboration. Employee volunteering had been a focus since the approval of volunteer time off as a benefit for employees last July. She stated that they were pleased to report that many individuals participated in various community service events this year, such as Toy Lift. She stated that their goal was to continue driving these efforts during the current calendar year.

Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding education, as depicted in the picture, she knew Mr. Mawyer had mentioned that a group of students would be visiting the Ivy facility tomorrow. She stated that on the slide was a picture of the kindergarteners who visited them a couple of months ago. She stated that community awareness campaigns, such as Imagine a Day Without Water, often emphasized the importance of various resources, such as water. She stated that the winners had been announced for that contest, and they were currently planning the next event, "Fix a Leak."

Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding communications, they added a page on the website dedicated to PFAS, as they felt it was essential for people to understand this topic that has gained significant attention recently. She stated that the web page was approximately three pages long and provided a comprehensive layperson's overview of PFAS.

Ms. Nemeth stated that their environmental stewardship efforts were evident when visitors came to their location for meetings; the "no mow" sign indicated that they had a diverse wildlife population. In the picture, she pointed out a turkey, which was one example of the local fauna. She stated that she was unsure if there were any baby turkeys present at the time, but there were now adult turkeys in the area.

Ms. Nemeth stated that community partnerships played an essential role in their work, such as the collaboration with Virginia Commonwealth University for their oyster shell reseeding program in the Chesapeake Bay. She stated that they had successfully increased engagement hours this year and were planning a new Environmental Education Center, currently in the planning phase. She stated that she believed it would be quite impressive once it was completed, and she was eager for them to see it.

 Ms. Nemeth stated that resource conservation had been a recent focus for their construction projects. She stated that the value engineering program had proven beneficial in terms of environmental stewardship. She stated that during the meeting for the new administrative building, they discussed resource conservation methods, such as incorporating solar panels and other sustainable practices into their buildings.

Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding workforce development, implementation of their learning management system through Paychex had been successful in providing HR training and safety training. She stated that their leadership development program had concluded with directors, and now they were planning phase two. She stated that professional development was a crucial aspect of their organization, and she was quite proud of the team's efforts in this regard. She stated one of their mechanics, David Jeffries, who was attending school at Valley Vocational Tech, had received multiple certifications.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the certificates with transcripts were astonishing and impressive, as they attended these classes on their own time after work once or twice a week as part of sponsored apprenticeship programs. She stated that part of completing the apprenticeship involved attending school, and last semester, at least half of their mechanics were going to school. She stated that they also had a staffing master plan, which was updated every five years and continued to expand their staff.

Ms. Nemeth stated that she would next discuss optimization and resiliency. She stated that they had implemented several measures resulting in cost savings. She stated that at Moores Creek, the sodium hydroxide dosing monitoring with SCADA had saved them \$70,000 over a period of 84 days. She stated that in the water department, they introduced a Zeta meter that optimized alum use and had saved them \$26,000 in 2023.

Ms. Nemeth stated that for safety optimization, they were now making lockout tagout documents accessible to teams through CityWorks. She stated that this allowed maintenance personnel working on equipment to easily access the necessary documentation for lockout tagout procedures instead of searching for a physical paper copy.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the Moores Creek permit had been modified to eliminate weekend work in the lab downstairs, which would save approximately \$93,000 annually in overtime pay. She stated that regarding planning and infrastructure, in asset management, CityWorks had been fully implemented, with the system running at 100%. She stated that all work order requests were being processed through CityWorks and completed successfully.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they had completed some preliminary assessments regarding critical infrastructure in order to reduce disruptions. They are focusing on knowledge sharing and they had finished all dam safety training, and the necessary documents are available for easy access via DocLink. She stated that in knowledge acquisition, over the last six months, they had been tracking and documenting non-HR or safety-related training to establish a baseline. She stated that their initial baseline was 500 total hours, which would be adjusted as time progressed.

Ms. Mallek asked if they had been able to use the learning management system for onboarding purposes.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they had just begun to do so last Friday. She stated that Paychex has an application system and onboarding system. She stated that they had posted jobs on Paychex so

that when someone went to the Rivanna website, there were links, as well as on Indeed. She 648 stated that when they hired a person through Paychex, all documents would now go to their 649 email to be electronically signed. She stated that they would likely do onboarding videos in 650 person, as there were some videos that warranted discussion, such as workplace expectations. 651 652 653 10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA 654 There were none. 655 656 11. CLOSED MEETING 657 There was no reason for a closed meeting. 658 659 12. ADJOURNMENT 660 At 4:07 p.m., Mr. Andrews moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste 661 Authority. The motion was seconded by Mr. Sanders and passed unanimously (7-0). 662 663 Respectfully submitted, 664 665 666 667 Mr. Sam Sanders 668 Secretary - Treasurer

647