

# RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS Minutes of Regular Meeting November 14, 2023

4 5 6

7

2

3

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, November 14, 2023 at 2:45 p.m. at 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, Virginia.

8 9 10

**Board Members Present:** Mike Gaffney, Sam Sanders, Jeff Richardson, Brian Pinkston, Ann Mallek, Gary O'Connell, Lauren Hildebrand.

11 12 13

**Board Members Absent:** None.

14

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Lonnie Wood, David Tungate, Betsy Nemeth, Jacob Woodson, Deborah Anama.

17

**Attorney(s) Present:** Valerie Long.

18 19

# 1. CALL TO ORDER

20 21

Mr. Gaffney convened the November 14, 2023 regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority at 2:45 p.m.

2425

### 2. AGENDA APPROVAL

2627

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any changes or suggestions regarding the agenda. Hearing none, he asked if there was a motion.

28 29 30

Ms. Mallek moved the Board to approve the agenda. Mr. O'Connell seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).

313233

## 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON OCTOBER 24, 2023

3435

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any comments or changes to the minutes of the previous meeting. Hearing none, he asked if there was a motion.

363738

Mr. O'Connell moved the Board to approve the minutes of the October 24, 2023 meeting. Ms. Mallek seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).

39 40 41

#### 4. RECOGNITION

42 43

There were no recognitions.

44

# 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- Mr. Mawyer stated that they experienced an unfortunate incident at the South Rivanna Water
- Treatment Plant where they released approximately 1,200 gallons of liquid lime. He stated that
- the photographs on the slide depicted the large white lime storage tanks inside the chemical
- building. He stated that they were transferring a lime slurry from one white tank to another when
- an overflow occurred. He stated that some of the lime could be seen on the concrete floor, which
- was captured within the containment system as intended.

Mr. Mawyer stated that there was a sump pump in the containment with a pipe connected that exited through the building wall. He stated that the pipe coming out of the wall did not have the green hose attached to it, resulting in the slurry being emptied onto the ground where it flowed into a stormwater basin. The lime slurry came out of the outfall from the storm pipe and flowed into the South Rivanna River.

Mr. Mawyer stated that this unfortunate incident was the result of several operational noncompliances. The Water Plant Managers have been working diligently with staff to ensure they fully understand the processes and procedures to prevent such incidents from occurring again. He stated that they immediately contacted the Department of Environmental Quality and Albemarle County Fire Rescue Department when the release was found. Both groups came to the site to assist them in assessing the situation. He stated that the lime reached the river, which increased the pH of the water in the river. He stated that this change affected some aspects of the environment between the location of the incident and the Route 29 bridge.

Mr. Mawyer stated that DEQ and staff assessed this area to determine the extent of the impact. He stated that for five days, staff collected a series of water samples from that section of the river. He stated that the spill occurred on November 2, and by November 6, the pH of the water in the river had returned to its normal level. He stated that they anticipated receiving a report from DEQ regarding potential violations and fines. He stated that RWSA apologized for the event and were taking measures to prevent it from happening again in the future.

Mr. Pinkston asked if this was something that had happened before.

Mr. Mawyer stated no, not with lime, but they did have a sodium permanganate release in 2019 near the reservoir. He stated that it had occurred without the containment equipment they had for the lime, but they had addressed that situation then and continued to monitor and take corrective measures now.

Ms. Mallek asked how high the pH level was during the monitoring.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the pH was as high as 12.5 at 4:15 p.m. on November 2. He stated that by November 6, the pH was 7.5.

Ms. Mallek stated that such a high pH could burn someone as badly as acid. She stated that both ends of the pH scale were extremely hazardous. She stated that she was glad they had taken care of the situation.

92 Mr. Mawyer stated that Virginia DEQ had RWSA establish five sampling locations along the

stretch of the river, and water department staff monitored the pH levels between November 2 and 93

- November 6. He stated that the level steadily came down and returned to normal on November 6. 94
- He stated that there was no impact on the drinking water, as all treatment processes proceeded 95
- normally and properly. He stated that it was solely an impact on that section of the South 96

Rivanna River. 97

98 99

100

101

102

Mr. Mawyer stated that on a positive note, two staff members and wastewater group operators passed their licensing exams. He stated that Schuyler Deal obtained the class four license, having been with them for about 18 months. He stated that Kyle Nielson secured the class two license, having worked with them for approximately four months. He stated that Mr. Nielson was a graduate of his own alma mater, Albemarle High School.

103

104 105

106

107

108

Mr. Mawyer stated that they celebrated Employee Appreciation Day on the afternoon of November 2. He stated that they held a service recognition ceremony for staff members in the parking lot of their building, where they served a picnic lunch and presented service awards. He stated that they had applied for a grant from the Virginia Department of Health for their Emerging Contaminants Program, which had awarded them \$3.17 million the previous year. He

109

stated that this year, they received a grant of \$260,000 from the program. He stated that these 110 111

funds were an extension of the federal BIL legislation distributed thru the State.

112 113

114

115

116

Mr. Mawyer stated that these two grants, totaling almost \$3.5 million, would be allocated to the Crozet Water Treatment Plant's granular activated carbon addition project that they were designing. He stated that he was a member of the Virginia Water and Wastewater Authority's Association, where he served as a director. He stated that they had recently attended their annual meeting in Staunton.

117 118 119

120

121

122

123

124 125

126

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had proposed in the Consent Agenda the Board meeting dates for year 2024. He stated that the Board would meet in-person every month on the fourth Tuesday at 2:15 p.m., except for November and December, which they offset due to holidays. He mentioned that they had discussed at the Solid Waste Board the possibility of returning to inperson public comment since meetings would be held in person, so persons wishing to speak from the public would no longer be able to use speak virtually to the Board. He stated that however, the Solid Waste Board opted not to follow this approach and wished to retain the virtual comment option for the public. He stated that he mentioned this so the Board could decide whether to approve it as part of the consent agenda.

127 128 129

Mr. Pinkston stated that he would assume it would be the same for both Boards.

130 131

Ms. Mallek stated that she strongly supported the decision made by the Solid Waste Board to keep that option open. She stated that it was far more advantageous to the agency so that people 132 who had questions could ask them while it was cool and calm, before it came before a large 133 group. She stated that in communities where there had been issues, the way it had been resolved successfully was to require people to register, even if providing virtual comment, to deter any 135 kind of bad behaviors. She stated that she hoped they would consider that. 136

137 138

134

Mr. Mawyer clarified that they had never experienced any bad behavior, but was trying to be

proactive. He stated that if it was the Board's pleasure, they would continue to have virtual comment from the public.

141

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any other comments from Board members on this topic.

143

Mr. O'Connell stated that he supported it. He asked if a motion was necessary.

145

Mr. Gaffney stated that they would only need a motion to eliminate it.

147

- Mr. Mawyer noted that video recordings of the meetings had been available for the public since the onset of COVID-19, and the public could access those on the Rivanna website at any time. He continued to report that they had been monitoring the drought conditions, and fortunately, South Rivanna was still full, as was Totier Creek at Scottsville. He stated that however, there had
- been a 16-inch, or 45%, deficit in precipitation this calendar year and approximately 22 inches or
- 153 18% lower than normal over the past 34 months.

records were in Charlottesville.

154

Mr. Mawyer stated that according to the drought status map, VDEQ had classified the central Virginia area as in an emergency status due for reservoir levels. He stated that they did not face this issue currently, as South Rivanna was full, and their other reservoirs were in good condition. He stated that they were under a warning status concerning groundwater levels and stream flow levels, but in a normal status for precipitation, which did not align with their own data. He stated that they monitored local data, focusing on the status of the reservoirs and what the precipitation

161162

163

164

165

166

167

Mr. Mawyer stated that this would be the last in-person meeting of Calendar Year 2023, as next month's meeting would be held virtually. He stated that he appreciated everyone's attendance and wished them happy holidays. He stated that regarding the consent agenda, there was also the holiday schedule for the Calendar Year 2024, which included 12.5 regular normal holidays. He noted that there was one additional holiday proposed on the consent agenda, which was Friday, July 5, following the July 4 holiday.

168 169 170

Mr. Richardson asked for clarification about how many holidays were proposed.

171

Mr. Mawyer stated that there were 13.5. He stated that the half day was the day before
Thanksgiving. He noted that many of their holidays were considered floating days, meaning they
could fall on different dates each year. He stated they maintained operations and their offices
were only closed for six major holidays. He stated that their water and wastewater operators
worked around the clock, 24/7/365, so they never closed for holidays. He stated that those
dedicated employees were accommodated with additional pay.

- Ms. Mallek stated that the Moormans River experiencing a 16 million gallon drop per day was a significant change in its stream flow, She stated that this change may not be directly affecting South Fork at present, but it had led to noticeable changes in the environment. She stated that for the first time in her life, the Mechums River was low enough that someone could walk across without getting wet. She stated that the situation both downstream and at the Moormans had been
- going on for quite some time.

Ms. Mallek expressed gratitude that they were planning ahead and taking precautions to address these challenges. She stated that however, she would emphasize that it was essential not to become overly confident just because their reservoirs were currently high. She mentioned that in 2002, the reservoirs were also falling rapidly; South Fork was dropping three feet per day in September. She stated that she would stop over the bridge and would call Mr. Mawyer's predecessor to inform them that the level continued to drop. She stressed that it was crucial to remain vigilant and proactive in addressing these issues.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he wanted to mention that they had been working with Ms. Long and Mike Derdeyn, attorney for ACSA, as well as the City's attorney, Mr. Stroman, to develop the amendment to the Ragged Mountain Dam project agreement they discussed last month. He stated that Ms. Hildebrand was prepared with Mr. Sanders to present this amendment to the Council on December 4. He stated that the amendment would enable the City or the Service Authority to request Rivanna to proceed with adding 12 feet of additional water to the Ragged Mountain Reservoir, which equaled approximately 700 million gallons. He stated that this would result in an increase from 1.4 billion to 2.1 billion gallons in water storage capacity at the Ragged Mountain reservoir, a 50% increase.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the amendment would also allow them to begin the design of these changes right away. He stated that they would need to complete grading around the reservoir and modify the gates on the intake tower before proceeding with transfer of the additional water. He stated that within two years, Ragged would be ready to accept more water. He stated that the dam was originally built high enough to accommodate the additional 12 feet, and the amendment to the project agreement would allow them to start transferring water from Sugar Hollow to Ragged under three conditions, which he would now discuss.

Mr. Mawyer stated that if the water level in Sugar Hollow was significantly overflowing, defined as 30 million gallons per day (MGD) or more, they could transfer water to Ragged for the purpose of filling the additional 12 feet. He stated that during normal operation such as when Ragged was almost five feet below its normal level, if they anticipated rain with a forecast of several weeks, they would open the transfer valve and immediately start transferring water from Sugar Hollow to Ragged in order to refill it. He stated that they wished to retain this right and opportunity.

Mr. Mawyer clarified that they were not limited to the 30 MGD condition when the level of Ragged was below the existing pool level, which was at an elevation of 671 above sea level, the normal level now. He stated that if it was below that level, they could transfer water whenever they deemed it appropriate, just like they had always been able to do. He stated that they wanted to retain this right to make such transfers. He stated that the 30 MGD restriction would apply if they were raising the water level above the existing pool for the purpose of reaching the additional pool level, which was 12 feet higher at elevation 683.

Mr. Mawyer stated that if they faced an emergency such as not being able to use water from the South Rivanna Reservoir due to contamination, they must rely more on Ragged and produce treated water at Observatory. He stated that in such cases, they would be able to transfer water

- from Sugar Hollow as needed. He stated that these three conditions were outlined in the 231
- amendment to the Ragged Mountain Dam Project Agreement. He stated that the amendment 232
- would be presented to City Council on December 4. He stated that if Council approved it, the 233
- ACSA nd the RWSA Boards would be asked to approve it, and upon that approval, they would 234
- be able to move forward. 235

- Mr. Mawyer stated that in 2012, when the agreement was written and approved, there was not a 237
- concept of changing climate conditions and extreme droughts that they faced now. He stated that 238
- their objective was to ensure that the community and their water supply were as full of water as 239
- possible so that they could provide additional water if needed. He stated that although they were 240
- currently experiencing a drought, having 34 months of low rainfall and being 18% below 241
- average, he hoped this situation would improve over time. He stated that to be as well-prepared 242
- as possible, their aim was to have as much water in storage in their reservoirs as feasible. 243

244

Mr. Pinkston asked if the University had to sign off on this agreement. 245

246

- Mr. Mawyer replied that the University was a customer of the City, and was not a signator on the 247
- Ragged Mountain Dam Project Agreement. 248

249

250 Mr. Pinkston asked if the fire in Madison County was far away from Sugar Hollow.

251

Ms. Mallek stated that it was about 30 miles, but the fire could travel quickly. 252

253

Ms. Mallek asked if the intake in Sugar Hollow reservoir was still far below the level of the dam. 254

255

Ms. Mallek clarified that she was talking about where the water came into the pipeline and was 256 257 removed from Sugar Hollow.

- 258
- 259
- Mr. Mawyer stated that they had a tower structure with gates to remove water from the reservoir. One gate was about 15 feet below the top of the dam, and another located 35 feet below the top. 260

261

Ms. Mallek stated that that would basically empty the reservoir, so they would not use that lower 262 gate very often.

263

- 264
- 265
- Mr. Mawyer stated that was correct. He stated that the depth of the reservoir was approximately 50 feet including the impacts from a previous landslide of logs and debris. He stated that they 266
- could not effectively drain the lowest levels of the reservoir without using mud gate at the 267
- bottom. 268

269

Mr. Tungate stated they were not using the mud gate now. He stated that the two highest 270 operational intake gates were currently open now. 271

Ms. Mallek asked if that tower was the same that they had just recently visited in May.

- 272
- 273
- 275 Mr. Mawyer stated yes, they had taken a tour of the dam and intake tower facility.
- 274
- 276

#### 6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda There was no one wishing to speak. 7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS There was no response to public comment. 8. CONSENT AGENDA a. Staff Report on Finance b. Staff Report on Operations c. Staff Report on CIP Projects d. Staff Report on Administration and Communications e. Staff Report on Wholesale Metering Staff Report on Drought Monitoring g. Approval of Board Meeting Schedule for Calendar Year 2024 h. Approval of the Rivanna Holidays for Calendar Year 2024 i. Approval to Increase Design Contingency – MCAWRRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrade – Hazen & Sawyer j. Approval of Resolution of Official Intent to Reimburse Expenditures with Proceeds of a **Borrowing** Mr. Pinkston asked if more information could be provided about item J. Mr. Wood stated that every year after the CIP, they had consistently implemented a similar resolution to this one. He stated that the resolution stated their intention as an authority to finance part of their CIP with bonded debt. He stated that this arrangement enabled them to reimburse themselves essentially, as it was a reimbursement resolution. He stated that as an example, currently they were using cash funds to design the central water line project. He stated that at some point in the future when they needed to issue bonds, they could go back and repay a portion of that funding to themselves, replenishing their capital fund. He stated that this resolution simply reserved the option for them to do so.

Mr. Mawyer clarified that this resolution was not a commitment to borrow funds. He stated that the authorization only allowed them to potentially borrow funds in the future. He assured that they would present a separate resolution when a specific bond issue was proposed.

Mr. O'Connell moved the Board to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Ms. Mallek seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).

### 9. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Presentation: Class Action Litigation and Proposed PFAS Settlements

Mr. David Tungate stated that he would discuss the PFAS settlement and the pending litigation. He stated that to begin, he would define a class action. He stated that it was a legal proceeding where one or more plaintiffs brings a lawsuit on behalf of a market group, known as the class. He stated that any proceeds from a class action suit after legal fees, whether through a judgment or a settlement, were shared among the members of the class.

Mr. Tungate stated that this settlement class consisted of water utilities that had suffered harm due to the presence of PFAS in drinking water. The presence of PFAS could be from pro-active water quality monitoring or resulted from the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) 5. He stated that these impacted Utilities alleged that the settlement defendants were liable for damages and other forms of compensation for such harm and costs.

Mr. Tungate stated that he would take a step back and discuss their resources. He stated that they had five surface water reservoirs, which were South Rivanna, Sugar Hollow, Ragged Mountain, Beaver Creek in Crozet, and Totier Creek in Scottsville. He stated that South Rivanna, Sugar Hollow, and Ragged Mountain were the three urban water reservoirs that together held approximately 3.3 billion gallons of water when they were full. He stated that their water treatment facilities included South Rivanna, Observatory, North Rivanna, Crozet, Red Hill, and Scottsville plants.

Mr. Tungate stated that the first three reservoirs made up their urban water system, with the Crozet plant serving the area around Crozet. He stated that the Red Hill plant served nine homes and the Red Hill school, while the Scottsville plant provided water to the entire Scottsville area. He stated that granular activated carbon (GAC) contactors or vessels were present in five of their facilities, the South Rivanna, Observatory, North Rivanna, Crozet, and Scottsville. He stated that they currently had a project underway to install a GAC vessel at the Red Hill facility. He stated that in 2018, RWSA put the GAC treatment system on-line for total organic carbon removal, which also provides additional benefits of PFAS removal.

Mr. Tungate stated that their five surface water treatment plants included the largest granular activated carbon facilities at the South Rivanna Treatment Plant, which had 320,000 pounds of granular activated carbon. He stated that the Observatory Treatment Plant now featured six contactors with 240,000 pounds of GAC. He stated that North Rivanna had one contactor with 40,000 pounds of GAC. He stated that Crozet had two contactors that totaled 40,000 pounds of GAC. He stated that Scottsville also had two vessels with 12,000 pounds of GAC combined.

Mr. Tungate stated that a project was currently under design to add additional contractors to Crozet and Red Hill. He stated that the litigation timeline saw a settlement in June of 2023, involving two defendants, Dupont and 3M. He stated that in August of 2023, the U.S. District Court in South Carolina granted preliminary approval of the settlement. He stated that in September 2023, the notice program and settlement administration process began. He stated that the Dupont settlement was approximately \$1.185 billion, while the 3M settlement ranged from \$10.5 to \$12.5 billion.

Mr. Tungate stated that the Dupont and 3M settlement class definitions were similar. He stated that the Dupont settlement encompassed all public water systems in the United States that drew or otherwise collected water from any source before June 30, 2023, and were tested or analyzed for PFAS and found to contain PFAS at any level. He stated that it included public water systems participating in UCMR 5, the EPA's fifth, unregulated contaminant monitoring, as of June 30, 2023.

Mr. Tungate mentioned the key difference between the Dupont and 3M settlements was the deadline for participation, which was June 22, 2023, in the case of the 3M settlement. He stated that it did not change anything for their organization because they met both definitions. He stated that settlement benefits were paid to each class member based on allocation procedures detailed in estimated allocation range tables, which they would briefly discuss. He stated that allocation procedures reflected factors used in designing treatment systems in connection with the volume of flow and the degree of impact.

Mr. Tungate stated that there was a formula that applied to eligible claimants. He stated that they had options to participate in the class action settlement or opt out of it. He stated that if they participated in the settlement, there was a release of liability on certain claims against 3M and Dupont. He stated that the allocation tables represented the volume of impacted flow, which was crucial because it referred to a 24-hour flow. He stated that RWSA has five of their six water treatment plants operating on a start-stop basis, reducing the volume of flows for the 24-hour period. He stated that they would see this later when they went through the recovery calculation process.

 Mr. Tungate stated that the South Rivanna WTP was currently the only one to operate 24 hours a day, while all others stopped after their tank was full, and did not run continuously. He stated that Red Hill operated a groundwater system that filled a hydropneumatic tank at the well site. He stated that the allocation calculations were calculated based on which system had the highest historical concentrations of PFOA and PFOS, as well as any other PFAS compound. He stated that they would perform a volume calculation and a PFAS score analysis for this process.

Mr. Tungate stated that the PFAS score was based on the maximum PFOA level plus the maximum PFOS level or the maximum PFOA plus the maximum PFOS and PPOS levels averaged with the square root of the maximum value of any other PFAS listed in the claims form. He stated that in September 2023, they conducted a sampling event for PFAS at the North Rivanna treatment plant. He stated that on the raw side, there were low detection levels, while on the finished side, it was below detection level.

Mr. Tungate stated that this highlighted the heterogeneity and variability of PFAS contaminants.
He stated that at the North Rivanna site, their facility had the highest PFOA detection on May
24, 2023 with 25 parts per trillion. He stated that on the same date they recorded 6.5 parts per
trillion of PFOS. He stated that there were several different derivatives of PFAS that they could

detect. He stated that there was a proposed MCL for PFOA and PFOS. He stated that the highest detections in their system were at North Rivanna in late May.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the proposed level for detection was four parts per trillion. He stated that if it was greater than 4, it exceeded the proposed standard.

Ms. Mallek stated that that was the measurable standard. She stated that on the left side of the slide, it indicated values for July and August. She said that it stated that the measurements were 11.9 and 14.9, respectively.

Mr. Tungate stated that those numbers were the sum of PFAS detected. He stated that this was the raw total PFAS derivative, then there was a total, and they would categorize them over on the right. He stated that speciation was crucial because there were now 28 PFAS derivatives detectable, but the five lab methods depended on the specific species, such as PFOS and PFOA. He mentioned that there were six to nine thousand derivatives in use, and the EPA had approved testing for only 28 of those.

Mr. Tungate stated that they calculated PFAS scores and flow rates. He stated that North Rivanna had their highest PFAS score, which was the sum and maximum of the PFOS and PFOA values, resulting in a score of 31.5. He stated that the North Rivanna flow rate was 299 gallons per minute. He stated that the plant operated for 8 to 10 hours daily, so it was off for 14 to 16 hours. He stated that the flow rate was calculated over a 24-hour period. He stated that their second highest facility had as score of 1.03 parts per trillion.

Mr. Tungate stated that the facility operated for 6 to 8 hours daily. He stated that therefore, the average flow rate over a 24-hour period was 41 gallons per minute. He stated examining the list, the South Rivanna had a PFAS score of 0.65, but the flow rate was 5,000 gallons per minute. He stated that this facility operated 24 hours a day. He stated that Observatory, once again, had the same score. The flow rate was only 1,324 gallons per minute. He stated that Red Hill had a score of zero due to its low flow rate of 1.29 gallons per minute.

Mr. Tungate stated that the next slide showed an example which demonstrated the scoring sheet used in litigation from the 3M settlement case. He stated that the PFOA concentration recorded on May 24, 2023 was 25 parts per trillion. He stated that the PFOS levels were simultaneously measured, resulting in a value of 6.5. He stated that by combining these values, they obtained a PFAS score of 31.5. He stated that on the X-axis, there was a flow rate of 299 gallons per minute. He stated that on the Y axis, they were at 31.5, which placed them between 10 and 50. He stated that the red box represented an estimate of their current position when calculating potential settlement amounts. He stated that this was used for estimation purposes, and they anticipated approximately \$300,000 for North Rivanna.

Mr. Tungate stated that they performed this analysis for all six facilities, using the tables as a reference. He stated that it was essential to note that these estimates were not official; they were determined based on their interpretation of the provided data. He stated that between the 3M and Dupont settlements, they estimated a total of \$960,000 or approximately \$1M. He stated that they used the tables provided to obtain these figures. He stated that this was their best estimation,

although it should be noted that the actual earnings may differ from these amounts. He noted that they would potentially receive more money from 3M than Dupont.

Mr. Pinkston asked if the notion would be to take this money and invest it in new GAC.

Mr. Tungate acknowledged that it was an option. He stated that the additional costs for treating PFAS in their drinking water were outlined in their Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), where they had projects that added extra GAC vessels to their existing facilities for total organic carbon removal. He estimated that they would need two more vessels at South Rivanna and two additional ones at Observatory, in addition to what was already planned. He stated that their CIP costs were \$10 to \$15 million dollars. He stated this did not include the cost of piping and buildings required to house the vessels.

Mr. Tungate mentioned that the additional operating costs would be approximately \$500,000 per year. He stated that if they added two more vessels in South Rivanna WTP and two at the Observatory, it was estimated that they would spend around \$500,000 annually on operating expenses from replacement of carbon media. He stated that they had plans to increase the number of vessels by four in South Rivanna and four at Observatory for total organic carbon (TOC) testing for disinfection by-products reduction. He stated that this expansion could potentially result in disinfection and bioproduction costs ranging from \$15 to \$20 million dollars.

Mr. Pinkston asked if the GAC was used to remove perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).

Mr. Tungate stated it took out some of the PFAS. He stated that it did not take out all of the PFAS. He stated that it depended on what derivative they had. He stated that GAC was the best management practice for the majority of PFAS, but not all.

Ms. Mallek asked if it would take a longer time in exposure to the GAC in order to be effective.

Mr. Tungate stated yes. He stated that in the process of designing the GAC system for Crozet, they considered altering the particle size of their current activated carbon product. He stated that this modification would slow down the flow rate through the vessels and potentially enhance the removal of PFAS. He stated that they finalized this study yesterday.

Ms. Mallek asked if the smaller particle had a larger surface area so there was more exposure to the filter element.

Mr. Tungate stated yes, it slowed it down. He stated that they were unsure about whether they needed additional vessels, but were in the process of evaluating it. He stated that questions to consider included whether additional PFAS compounds can be detected in drinking water, if PFAS can travel through air, and if they will get more money in the future. He explained that it was likely that as laboratory technology continued to improve, more PFAS compounds would be detected in water. He stated that the EPA approval process took time and was quite intense.

Mr. Tungate stated that regarding PFAS traveling through the air, it was true that there were some

examples that may release PFAS into the outdoor air, and these sources were not PFAS
manufacturers, nor did they use PFAS chemicals at the levels noted in states in which
atmospheric deposition has been demonstrated. He noted that in fall of 2022, staff collected
rainwater samples at three treatment plants to see if there were PFAS in the rain. He stated that
they did not detect any PFAS in the rainwater. He stated that they used special PFAS-free pans to
catch the water in as well as multiple other controls. He stated that they did not find it in

514515

Mr. Mawyer stated that other localities had found PFAS in their rainwater, including in Michigan.

518

Mr. Tungate noted that RWSA staff collected rainwater sample for PFAS analysis only once.

520

Ms. Mallek stated that the wind could affect how much PFAS was in the air or rainwater.

522

Mr. Tungate stated that regarding the question of whether they would get more money in the future, their PFAS scores were relatively low except at North Rivanna. He stated that they had plans to decommission that plant in 2026. He stated that it was a significant risk for individual utilities or a second group of Utilities to hire attorneys and expect higher compensation.

527

Mr. Pinkston asked for clarification regarding the latter sentence.

rainwater in three of their locations.

529

Mr. Tungate clarified that if RWSA entered in a separate lawsuit with 3M or Dupont, , they would have to hire their own legal representation and not be a part of the class action lawsuit.

532

Mr. Pinkston stated that it seemed that there could be another class action lawsuit if they discovered 29 more.

535

Mr. Tungate stated that they had discussed this extensively internally, and considered what their expectations were. He stated that they were one industry, the water industry, and there were many other industries lining up to seek compensation regarding PFAS. He stated that where that put them was undetermined.

540

Mr. Gaffney stated that this waiver of liability applied to the entire group of chemicals, not just one.

543

Mr. Mawyer stated that this was for drinking water, not wastewater.

545

Mr. Tungate confirmed that this was correct. Mr. Tungate stated that another question was if there would be funds remaining from responsible parties if they opted out now in anticipation of future litigation. He stated that there may be additional parties seeking awarded damages. He stated that attorney fees were anticipated to be 25%. He stated that another question was when they could expect to receive these funds. He stated that they should receive 50% of the PFAS settlement money in two years and the rest over the next eight years.

552

Mr. Tungate stated that they were a part of VAMWA, an organization for municipal water

- utilities. He stated that they participated in a survey of 41 mid-Atlantic utilities from Virginia,
- Maryland, West Virginia, South Carolina, and North Carolina. He stated that of the Utilities
- surveyed, 59% had chosen to remain in this class action. He stated that 32% Utilities had decided
- to opt out, and 9% were either on the fence or undecided. He stated that out of this group of 41,
- 18, who belonged to large utilities with a PFAS detection greater than four parts per trillion, had chosen to stay in.

- Mr. Tungate stated that 39% of the surveyed utilities had opted out, and 11% remained uncertain.
- As RWSA is a large utility with a PFAS detection greater than four parts per trillion, they must
- consider their options carefully. He also mentioned that another 15 large utilities, which had a
- PFAS detection below four parts per trillion, faced no expected costs. He stated that 73% had
- decided to stay in, while 13% had opted out, and 13.5% were still undecided.

566567

Ms. Mallek asked if they could qualify for both.

568

- Mr. Tungate answered no. Mr. Tungate stated that it utilized the highest reading, using that PFAS
- score. He stated that key dates and deadlines, such as submitting objections to Dupont and 3M
- had passed them now. He stated that a deadline for submitting requests for exclusion or opting
- out is December 12 for Dupont and December 4 for 3M. He stated that the court's final hearing
- fairness hearing for Dupont is on December 14, and for 3M it is on February 2. He noted that
- 574 phase one water system claim forms were due 60 days after the effective date.

575

- Mr. Tungate stated that in summary, testing indicates that their community has low levels of
- PFAS in the drinking water. He stated that RWSA had GAC filters to reduce the levels of total
- organic compounds and PFOA/PFOS at treatment plants. He stated that additional GAC filters
- would be required to treat all the water for PFAS removal. He stated that remaining in the class
- action litigation may result in an estimated award of \$500,000 to \$1 million. He stated that with
- regards to future drinking water litigation, these two defendants would be waived. He stated that
- the outcome of future litigation, if any, was uncertain. He indicated on the slide a photograph of
- the South Rivanna Dam on November 2, 2023.

584

- Mr. Tungate stated that alternatives were to remain a member of the class action litigation and accept any settlement while giving up rights to future litigation against Dupont and 3m for PFAS
- damages to water system r to opt out of the class action litigation, thereby reserving all rights,
- and pursue separate litigation, if any, in the future.

589 590

Mr. O'Connell asked if they had to make an active claim to do that.

591

- Mr. Tungate stated that if they did nothing, they stayed in, and if they opted out, that was the
- second option.

594 595

Mr. Mawyer clarified that they would do nothing but would still have to file the claims.

- Mr. Tungate stated that was correct. He stated that if they decided not to participate by opting
- out, that preserved all their rights for preserving separate litigation. He stated that the request
- from the Board was that they authorize the Executive Director to register for a PFAS settlement

agreement claims form and account and remain a member of the class action litigation. He stated 600 that this was staff's recommendation. 601 602 Mr. Gaffney asked if it would be about \$800,000 and \$1M, less 25% for legal fees, spread over 8 603 years. 604 605 Mr. Tungate stated that 50% of the money would be within the first two years, then in the 606 remaining 8 years they would receive the other 50% of the money. 607 608 Mr. Gaffney stated that would buy them a tiny bit of a GAC container. 609 610 Ms. Mallek stated that it could offset a lot of years of operating costs. 611 612 613 Mr. Tungate stated that they spent between \$800,000 and \$1M annually for GAC operating costs currently with the inventory they currently had. 614 615 Ms. Mallek stated that was for the disinfection by-products. 616 617

Mr. Tungate stated that was correct.

619

622

624

626

629

631

634

644

Mr. Gaffney stated that there were 80 additional companies which could be potential litigants for PFAS. He asked if anyone knew what was going on with them.

Mr. Mawyer stated no, there were other companies lining up to litigate with Dupont and 3M.

Mr. Gaffney stated that he was talking about other water treatment companies.

Mr. O'Connell stated that the firefighting foam company had gone bankrupt. He stated that there was that possibility.

Mr. Gaffney stated that 3M recently settled for \$6 billion for their ear plugs.

632 Mr. Tungate stated that 3M had allocated approximately \$10.5 to \$12.5 billion for this specific class action.

Mr. O'Connell stated that the Service Authority was also notified of the claims settlement, and it was still unclear about if Rivanna and the Service Authority can make the claim. He stated that however, their Board approved them to move forward, so there was a possibility to have Rivanna's claim as well as ACSA's claim. He stated that the way it was worded and the way their permit was written, they were thinking they could make the claim, so they would pursue it.

Mr. Mawyer stated that guidance information was issued recently regarding wholesalers and connecting systems. He stated that the intent that there would be one party which would receive any damages.

Ms. Mallek asked if their application would reinforce the other in a way.

646 Mr. Mawyer stated that they would not pay both agencies. He stated that it would not be 647 duplicative, but they may split it up between a wholesaler and retailer. He stated that the City 648 was in the same discussion with them. 649 650 Mr. Pinkston asked if RWSA had a recommendation. 651 652 Mr. Mawyer stated that their recommendation was to remain in the class action lawsuits against 653 3M and DuPont. He stated that there were reasons not to do so, but he did talk with their 654 agency's counsel for environmental issues, and was advised that it would be very risky not to 655 participate in the class action, with little hope of recovering in a second round should there even 656

be one. He stated that by the time they paid their own attorneys and worked through the time and 657 effort, it was risky. He stated that some of the larger utilities were opting out, so it was not 658

unheard of, but was not recommended. 659

660

664

666

669

670 671

676

679

682

687

690

Mr. Tungate stated that based on the highest PFAS score being at North Rivanna and the fact that 661 the plant was to be decommissioned in two years, if they were on their own, it would potentially 662 undermine their position. 663

Mr. Mawyer stated that their locality did not have a PFAS issue right now. 665

Mr. O'Connell stated that they had implemented the GAC as a protective measure, which a lot of 667 places did not have. 668

Ms. Mallek stated that they may not decommission North Fork.

672 Mr. Mawyer stated that the plan was to decommission it as soon as they got the pipe under the South Rivanna river in place to create redundancy to the northern area, and once the Airport 673 Road pump station was finished. He stated that those were the facilities necessary before they 674 could stop using North Rivanna. 675

Mr. Pinkston stated that this money was supposed to be remedial for past damages as well as 677 678 future protections.

Mr. Gaffney noted that it did not come anywhere close. He stated that they were not the only two 680 companies either. He noted that they were still legal to manufacture. 681

Mr. Tungate stated that everyone in the room benefited from PFAS materials. He stated that they 683 included wrinkle-free clothes, Gore-Tex, and food wrappers. 684

685 686 Ms. Mallek stated that one had to work very hard to avoid those materials.

Mr. Gaffney stated that they had to decide whether to stay in the class action lawsuit at this 688 meeting because the deadlines were December 4 and December 11. 689

Ms. Hildebrand stated that she supported staff's position to remain in the class action litigation, 691

because the other options were risky.

Mr. Gaffney stated that he felt that they were letting them off the hook easy, but he did not see another way. He stated that at some point, they would declare bankruptcy and reorganize. He stated that in one case, a judge did not allow a company to declare bankruptcy. He stated that he approved of staying in.

Ms. Mallek stated that she agreed.

Mr. O'Connell moved the Board to authorize the Executive Director to register for a PFAS Settlement Agreement Claims Form / Account and remain a member of the class action litigation. Ms. Mallek seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).

> b. Presentation: Paychex Payroll and Human Resources Information System (HRIS) Review

(reconvene RSWA for a JOINT SESSION with the RWSA)

At 3:39 p.m., Mr. Pinkston moved to reconvene the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board. Mr. Richardson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (6-0).

Ms. Betsey Nemeth stated that she would present information about the organization's new payroll and HRIS system, Paychex. She stated that over a year ago, they started looking for a new payroll-only system, as they had been using accounting software before. She stated that they wanted to enhance their employee experience around payroll and other parts of human resources, ultimately achieving efficiency and cost-effectiveness. She stated that they chose Paychex from several different vendors. She stated that they had customized the organization's payroll processing to their specifications, and they were a little bit different than most places because they worked 24/7 and on holidays, so there were varying kinds of pay.

Ms. Nemeth stated that she was excited about the addition of an entire electronic application system and the ability to post job openings on multiple recruiting websites simultaneously. She stated that when she input a job into the system, it posted across various platforms, including their own website. She mentioned that electronic onboarding will be implemented, allowing new hires to complete their onboarding forms from home. She stated that this included electronic I-9 and E-Verify employment eligibility verification processes. She stated that there was a significant achievement in implementing a learning management system (LMS).

Ms. Nemeth stated that they had introduced a time and attendance system for hourly employees, replacing manual tracking with punching into a timeclock. She stated that multiple methods existed for employees to punch in and out using their cell phones, computers, or a timeclock. She stated that in addition, all pay stubs and tax forms were now available electronically for employees, when before they were solely on paper. She stated this system allowed employers to file state federal employer payroll taxes by Paychex, with the payment being sent through the system itself.

Ms. Nemeth stated that employees could make changes to their personnel information electronically without submitting any paperwork. She stated that the management system handled leave, including sick time, vacation time, bereavement time, and volunteer time off. She stated that there were three ways for employees to access the clock, including the dashboard, computer, or timeclock.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the computer displayed information about their new system. She explained that there was a green button for hourly employees to punch in and that they could also use their cell phones for this purpose. She mentioned that geotracking would be used, so they would know the location of employees who punched in from their living rooms rather than a work site. She stated that the third picture showed the actual time clock at Ivy, which was the only one being used. She stated that employees used their employee numbers to punch in and out.

Ms. Nemeth stated that the application system was exciting because it automatically posted jobs on numerous job boards and the website, tracking the entire hiring process electronically. She stated that from the job posting to the onboarding process, hiring involved numerous steps, including interviews, questions asked, and application design tailored to specific positions. She stated that the system maintained a comprehensive list by job that tracked individuals' progress throughout this process. She stated that the job description library was continually expanding, ensuring they had accurate and detailed descriptions for each role.

Ms. Nemeth stated that currently, their website featured a single job application for all available positions. She stated that with the new system, she could now create customized applications for each position, such as water manager, HR manager, safety manager, or water operator, to gather specific information relevant to the role. She stated that upon hiring someone, they sent an onboarding email containing instructions for completing all required documents. She stated that the program would include a copy of their handbook for participants to read and sign off on. She stated that additionally, E-Verify would be used for completing the I-9 employment eligibility form, verifying with the government that the individual was legally allowed to work in the United States.

 Ms. Nemeth stated that they had recently begun working on integrating Paychex learning management into their system, which aligned well with their strategic plan. She stated that as outlined in the plan, they aimed to track and manage various types of training for employees. She stated that Paychex already featured a learning library covering safety, HR, and IT topics. She stated that this integration allowed them to create personalized learning journeys for individual employees based on their areas of improvement or focus, such as leadership development. She stated that reporting was available for each employee's training hours, allowing them to receive an individualized training and learning transcript.

Ms. Nemeth stated that they could add their own training activities to the transcript. She stated that for example, she took courses to maintain certifications and could include all her external training in the transcript. She stated that they could upload various training modules, not just job-specific ones. She stated that in the past week, they had added their safety training, which was conducted as a module every other week, and all of this information was now available in the

system. She stated that additionally, employees who wanted to create their own training modules or come up with new ideas could submit them for inclusion in the system.

787

Mr. Gaffney asked if Ms. Nemeth was looking forward to the new program.

788 789

- Ms. Nemeth stated yes. She stated that the training was very interesting. She stated that the
- software would be tracking most of the training they did, rather than tracking it on spreadsheets.
- She stated that it would also be great for their employees because they no longer had to call her
- on the phone to ask for paper paystubs. She stated that employees could access all of that
- information on their phones now.

795

796 Mr. Pinkston asked if this was similar to Workday.

797

Ms. Nemeth stated yes. She stated that their organization was not large enough to use Workday, but it was similar.

800

Ms. Mallek asked where they were in terms of the implementation process.

802

Ms. Nemeth stated that all paperwork was being processed there now. She stated that she hoped the application process would be operational in January. She stated that the LMS was integrated but not yet activated. She stated that she wanted to do a few modules herself and make sure it

was acceptable.

807

Ms. Mallek asked if those were their modules.

809

Ms. Nemeth stated that she was referring to the outside modules. She clarified that they could be both. She stated that they had already uploaded their in-house safety training, but Paychex had their own modules that she wanted to review before sending them to employees to complete.

813

Ms. Mallek asked if it would include cyber training.

815

Ms. Nemeth stated that they got cyber training from a different vendor and had not crossed that bridge yet. She stated that she would be curious to see what their IT team thought of that.

818

Ms. Mallek asked if the I-9 notification to the government was required at the very end. She asked if it would be more sensible to get that done before sending the onboarding email to someone.

822

Ms. Nemeth stated that she would have to do it after she offered the job to them. She stated that as part of their onboarding process, she had to verify their ID. She stated that she would have to rescind the offer if they were not qualified to work in the U.S.

826 827

### 10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON THE AGENDA

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were other items from Board members or staff not on the agenda and heard none.

831 832 833	Ms. Mallek stated that at the last meeting, a member of the public asked a question about clients of RWSA who had put in private wells and were drawing groundwater from their neighbors in order to water their grass. She stated that she wanted to ask the question about if there were any
834	requirements when one signed up to get Rivanna Water and Sewer coverage.
835	Mr. Mawyer stated that those clients would either sign up with the City or the Service Authority,
836	but not with RWSA.
837 838	but not with K w 5/4.
839	Mr. O'Connell stated that they disconnected wells when people signed up for public water.
840	wit. O Contion stated that they disconticuted world whon people signed up for public water.
841	Ms. Mallek stated that they may not be aware of new wells that had been installed. She stated
842	that it was particularly important because their water table was so low.
843	Mr. Gaffney asked if the removal of the wells was required.
845	M. ONG. and D. C. and A. C. and A. H. and C. and A. H.
846	Mr. O'Connell confirmed that they were not legally allowed per Albemarle County code. He
847	stated that if someone connected to public water, they had to disconnect the well. He stated that
848	they had enforced it in a couple of places. He stated that it was unlikely someone could have gone in and done it unbeknownst to anyone, because the Health Department usually would be
849	aware of such a situation.
850	aware of such a situation.
851 852	Ms. Hildebrand stated that according to the City's Standard and Design Manual, if someone was
853	a water customer of the City, they could not install a well, and it was not allowed.
854	a water customer of the City, they could not histain a work, and it was not anowed.
855	11. CLOSED MEETING
856	There was no reason for a closed meeting.
857	
858	(Adjournment of RSWA Board)
859	
860	3:52 p.m. Mr. Andrews moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste
861	Authority. Mr. Richardson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (6-0).
862	12 AN IOTONIMENT
863	12. ADJOURNMENT
864	At 3:52 p.m., Ms. Mallek moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer
865	Authority. Mr. Pinkston seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).
866	
867	Respectfully submitted,
868	la Mikdelle
869	
870	Mr. Jeff Richardson
871	Secretary - Treasurer
	The state of the s