

RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Minutes of Regular Meeting
October 24, 2023

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was

Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility, 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville,

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Sam Sanders, Jeff Richardson, Brian Pinkston, Ann

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Lonnie Wood, Jennifer Whitaker, David Tungate, Betsy

Mr. Gaffney convened the October 24, 2023 regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the

Ms. Mallek moved to approve the agenda. Mr. O'Connell seconded the motion, which

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2023

There were no comments on or questions regarding the minutes for the meeting held on

Mr. Pinkston moved to approve the Minutes of the September 26, 2023 Board Meeting. Ms.

Nemeth, Scott Schiller, Andrea Bowles, Jacob Woodson, and Deborah Anama.

held on Tuesday, October 24, 2023 at 2:15 p.m. at the 2nd Floor Conference Room, Moores

4 5

1

2 3

6 7

8 9

VA.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24 25

26 27 28

29

30 31

> 33 34 35

> > 36 37

> > 32

38 39

40

5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

41

Mallek, Gary O'Connell, and Lauren Hildebrand.

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority at 2:15 p.m.

There were no comments on or questions for the agenda.

Mallek seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

Board Members Absent: None

Attorney(s) Present: Valerie Long

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. AGENDA APPROVAL

carried unanimously (7-0).

September 26, 2023.

4. RECOGNITIONS

There were none.

- Mr. Mawyer stated that he would like to recognize two staff members, Mark Charron and Drew 42 Prothero, who passed their state wastewater licensing exams. He stated that Mr. Charron passed 43
- the Class 1exam, which is the highest license, and had been with the Authority since 2013. He 44
- stated that Mr. Prothero passed his Class 2 exam, and had worked for Rivanna about a year and 45
- was a graduate of James Madison University. 46

Mr. Mawyer stated that this month, as part of their strategic plan priority of Workforce
Development, staff participated in fire safety training. He stated that they appreciated Albemarle
County Assistant Fire Marshall, Sean Maddox, coming over and giving them hands-on training
on how to operate a fire extinguisher using the PASS acronym (Pull, Aim, Squeeze, and Sweep).
He stated Assistant Fire Marshal Maddox used an electronic fire device and allowed staff to train
by putting out the fire with an electronic fire extinguisher.

Mr. Mawyer stated that under their Communication and Collaboration strategic plan priority, Jennifer Whittaker, Director of Engineering and Maintenance, continued her involvement with the UVA civil engineering class. He stated she made a presentation to the fourth year students about public sector engineering careers. He stated that they attended the Resilient Together, One Climate, One Community kickoff held at City Space. He stated that City Manager, Sam Sanders kicked off the meeting, which was a joint effort between the City, the County, and UVA working toward a more resilient community. He stated that Trevor Henry, Deputy County Executive, also had opening comments. The presentation was well attended, and many good ideas were shared. Rivanna was involved with the program since water was at the root of drought, flooding and extreme heat issues.

He stated that another event that occurred in the community in which they participated was Mr. Tungate's presentation at the Rivanna River Basin Commission. He stated that the commission held an event in September, and Ms. Mallek was the chair of that event. Mr. Mawyer stated that the topic was PFAS compounds and their potential impact on the environment. He stated that Mr. Tungate presented Rivanna's perspective on water treatment and biosolids application, emphasizing how PFAS was a major component of these processes.

He stated that in addition to planning a renovation of the Administration building next year, it had been suggested that they should incorporate an education component into the plans of the building. He stated they were aware that Loudoun Water Authority had an education component in their administration building. Mr. Mawyer stated that he had worked with some of the Loudoun managers through professional associations and committees, and they were invited to visit the Loudoun education center and "Aquiary". He stated it featured a 3,500 square foot interactive display off their public entrance lobby. He stated that the public could come into this large lobby where the public could pay a bill, as well as view interactive displays for water and wastewater treatment. He stated that there was also a secured part of the building with administrative offices.

Mr. Mawyer stated that there was an "Aquiary", which featured a one-mile path where visitors could learn about wastewater treatment. He stated that the group took the tour of the outside walking path which included a pond containing treated wastewater from their adjacent wastewater plant, which had large koi fish to demonstrate its cleanliness. He stated that they also had bioretention basins and other outdoor facilities that were open to the public. He stated that the administration building and education center were constructed 15 years ago and had maintained their value to both the community and the Authority. He stated that they were typically fully booked with school classes visiting the displays, and over 700 students came through each year.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he had completed the City and County quarterly reports to the City Council and the Board of Supervisors this month. He stated that they were collaborating with the ACSA and the City for the Imagine a Day Without Water program, which included the annual youth art contest. He stated that this year's theme was "Tell us your action to save water." He stated a group would judge the submissions, and they would have an award ceremony in December.

He stated that last month at the Board meeting, they discussed drought concerns with some apprehension, but fortunately, there had been rainfall since then. He stated that Albemarle and central Virginia area remained under a watch status for groundwater and reservoir levels. Mr. Mawyer stated they were currently 13 inches below the average rainfall this year, which equated to 41% in their region, and at an approximately 16% low over the past 33 months. He stated that the South Rivanna Reservoir was currently 100% full; Beaver Creek was 92% full; Totier Creek at Scottsville was 100% full; Ragged Mountain had no water source and was about four feet down, and they had not transferred any water to Ragged Mountain because of the dry weather and low flows from Sugar Hollow. He stated that Sugar Hollow was currently 0.3 feet below the top of the dam. He stated that Beaver Creek Reservoir, which served Crozet, was a little over a foot down. He stated that the urban area reservoirs were collectively 92% full.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were watching the South Rivanna Reservoir, and as long as it continued to overflow, they maximized the use of that water at the South Rivanna Treatment Plant and tried to hold water in Ragged Mountain reservoir. He stated that if South Rivanna ceased to flow over the dam, they would shift the usage distribution more toward Observatory treatment plant and the Ragged Mountain Reservoir, attempting to save water in Sugar Hollow and South Rivanna Reservoirs. He stated that they hoped for a wet fall and winter, which would put them in good shape for the following spring and summer.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had recently received notice from the Virginia Department of Health (VDH) about a cyber-attack affecting one of their colleagues and neighboring utilities, the Western Virginia Water Authority, which served the Roanoke area. He stated that VDH had issued a warning to all utilities to remain vigilant. He stated that Mr. Wood and the IT staff were working diligently to ensure they did not experience any cyber-attack issues. He stated that this situation was ongoing.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the November meeting would be held on November 14, which meant there was a quick turnaround due to the Thanksgiving holiday. He stated that at the November meeting, they would offer a presentation discussing the ongoing PFAS class action litigation. He stated they needed to decide whether to stay in the class action program or opt out by December 4 for the DuPont cases and December 11 for the 3M cases. He stated that if they chose to opt out, they must do so; otherwise, they would remain in the program as a class action participant.

Mr. Mawyer stated they were gathering facts and cost estimates for this litigation. Attorneys from the class action group had created a spreadsheet to estimate potential awards based on flow rate volume and PFAS contamination extent in water sources. He stated that they were working through this calculation to determine possible compensation. He stated he attended two National

Association of Clean Water Agency webinars recently and received valuable advice from their attorneys.

141

Ms. Mallek asked if they only tested at the water input or if they tested at other stages.

143144

Mr. Mawyer stated that they tested the raw water and the finished water.

145

Ms. Mallek clarified that they would be able to gather the data easily.

ability to sue 3M and DuPont in the future.

147

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had been testing for up to nine years at some locations. He stated that they had test data back to 2014. He stated that fortunately, they did not have high PFAS levels, so they were not expecting that the matrix estimate would result in very high compensation for damages. He stated that they would run through the numbers and see how it

worked out for them.

Mr. Gaffney asked if they would know in November whether they were giving away any rights by remaining in the litigation. He stated he wanted to know if they would be relinquishing their

156 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Mr. Mawyer stated he believed they were going to verify this information, but they would be giving up future rights for water contamination, although he understood not for wastewater contamination. He stated that some of the commentary from the NACWA websites mentioned that the initial litigation with the class action suit was going to try to take these two companies to the brink of their financial viability. He stated that there were billions of dollars included in the class action suit. He stated that they would discuss next month whether it was worth staying in or getting out of the litigation. He stated that a component would be that if you did not stay in now, there may be very little, if funds remaining after the class action process.

165166167

168

169

Mr. Gaffney stated that he could not imagine that DuPont or 3M would disappear. He stated that it was still early in their understanding of PFAS and its effects. He stated that it remained legal to use these chemicals. He stated that this issue could persist for up to two decades. He stated he trusted that they would provide him with a wealth of information within the next month.

170171172

173

174

Mr. Mawyer stated they would be gathering information by reading and listening to various sources. He stated that their goal was to provide this information to others as soon as possible. He stated the lawsuit involved two companies: 3M and DuPont, and they had different dates for their deadlines, so it was essential to keep track of both schedules.

175176

177 Ms. Mallek stated that 3M stopped production many years ago.

178

- Mr. Mawyer stated that they had read or heard about it, but they had not found it in writing yet.
- He stated that they were looking for that information. He stated that NACWA had been advocating with Congress to pass laws to relieve utilities of the cost and let the cost be
- transferred back to the people who manufactured the product and try to take it away from the
- water and wastewater agencies.

- Mr. Richardson stated that during the week of November 13, he had been summoned for jury
- duty. He stated that he would know on Monday, November 13, whether he was serving or not.

187

- Ms. Mallek stated that she would start on the phone because she would be leaving a VACO
- meeting. She stated that she intended to begin on the phone and arrive later.

190

- Mr. Mawyer stated that the meeting date was different due to holidays; it would take place
- during the third week of November instead of the fourth. He stated that the same applied for
- 193 December.

194

Mr. Gaffney asked whether the Board would require an action to allow Ms. Mallek to participate remotely.

197

Mr. Mawyer stated the Board will require a motion to permit Ms. Mallek to participate if she is remote.

200

- Mr. Gaffney stated that he would like to make two comments, one on precipitation and stream
- flows. He stated that when they had the drought in 2002, one of the main reasons was that it
- occurred over a number of years and the groundwater was so low that every rain just soaked into
- the ground and did not make it into the stream. He stated that when looking at 2021 and 2023,
- within another year or two, they could be back to where they were.

206

- Mr. Gaffney stated that the education center was a great idea and he would love to go up and see
- Loudoun's education center as well. He stated that the Rivanna board had first started talking
- about them having an education center. He stated that Mr. O'Connell had discussed an education
- center 15 years ago.

211

- Mr. O'Connell stated there were a number of utilities with something similar or as part of an
- administration complex. He stated he complimented Mr. Mawyer on trying to take a look at an
- education center for the Rivanna project. He noted that it was not a huge amount of space when
- considering the size of the complex.

216

217 Mr. Gaffney noted that funding was still a matter to consider.

218

Mr. Mawyer stated that Mr. O'Connell had brought up the idea of the education center during his recent comments.

221

- Mr. O'Connell stated that while he had mentioned the drought, he wanted to remind everyone
- that the Ragged Mountain Reservoir had been expanded since then. He stated that the way to
- move forward was expanding their current projects and the reservoir capacity.

225

- Mr. Pinkston stated that he wanted to know if they were going to discuss that during the capital
- project discussion. He asked about the specific projects about raising the water and any legal
- matters that would need to be addressed in order for it to happen.

229

230 Mr. Mawyer stated that they could discuss it now if he preferred. He stated that Ms. Long had

been meeting with Jacob Stroman, the City attorney, and Mike Derdeyn, the ACSA attorney. He stated they had drafted an amendment to allow additional water to be added to the Ragged Mountain Reservoir. He stated the hope was that the amendment would be presented to City Council in the near future, possibly in November or December.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the 2012 Ragged Mountain Dam Project Agreement had certain limitations on when Rivanna could raise the water level by an additional 12 feet. He stated that although the dam was constructed to accommodate this increase, there was a restriction in the agreement based on community demand that it must be no sooner than 10 years before the community's water demand equaled 85% of the water supply. He stated that he understood the concern for some people in 2012 was that inundating more property around Ragged Mountain should not be done until it became absolutely necessary.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the amendment suggested addressing climate change uncertainties and drought by maximizing infrastructure and water supply by adding the 12 feet of water as soon as possible was the best alternate for our community now. He stated that increase in water supply would be completed in about two years if the amendment was approved by City Council, the ACSA, and the Authority. He stated it would allow for grading modifications around the reservoir, removal of vegetation, and adjustment of gates on the intake tower. He stated it permitted transferring water from Sugar Hollow Reservoir to fill Ragged Mountain Dam.

Mr. Mawyer stated they agreed with the Mormon River group that they would limit the transfer from Sugar Hollow to times of high flow, meaning when there were over 30 million gallons a day coming across the dam at Sugar Hollow. He stated that they were meeting at the Sugar Hollow dam in May, and it was evident that about 14 million gallons a day were coming over the dam, which looked like a huge amount of water. He stated they decided to double it to 30 million gallons as their threshold.

Mr. Mawyer stated that there had been approximately 50 days in the last three years where the flow exceeded 30 million gallons a day. He stated the concept was that they did not expect to take all of the 700 million gallons for Ragged Mountain from Sugar Hollow. He stated that when the raw water system was full of water and flowing over the South Rivanna Dam, they were not effectively using this natural resource as a water supply for the community.

He stated that since the excess water was going to the Chesapeake Bay, but they may as well transfer it in Ragged Mountain reservoir. He stated that the amendment contained a caveat that they would not transfer water unless there was more than 30 million gallons per day flowing over the dam for the purpose of adding the 12 feet and an additional 700 million gallons at Ragged Mountain. He stated that if the water level fell below the current water level, they could transfer from Sugar Hollow without any consideration of the 30 million gallon per day threshold.

Mr. Pinkston stated that they could still proceed with moving water from South Rivanna to Ragged Mountain, regardless of the events at Sugar Hollow.

Mr. Mawyer stated that once the pipeline was completed, the South Rivanna reservoir would be the source of water for Ragged Mountain reservoir. He stated that at that point, they would stop all transfers from Sugar Hollow and take all the transfers from South Rivanna Reservoir.

Mr. Pinkston stated that this was not really changing anything; it was just basically speeding up the community water supply plan, which had been agreed to 15 or 20 years ago. He stated that this was simply allowing them to speed up a portion of it.

Mr. Mawyer stated that was correct. They may not complete the pipeline from South Rivanna for approximately seven years. He stated they had to consider whether they should take a risk and not increase the community's water supply during this period by adding as much as possible, understanding that they could only transfer about 3 million gallons per day from Sugar Hollow. He stated they would transfer 25 million gallons per day from South Rivanna once the pipe and pump station were built. He stated that if they only added 100 million gallons over the 7 year period while the new pipe was constructed, it would be 100 MG more than the community would have if they were in a drought.

Ms. Mallek stated that one overarching philosophy of thought to consider was if everyone consistently discussed conservation. She stated that rural area residents, who had no public water system to purchase from, were entirely on their own all the time. She stated that streams had disappeared, and wells were now in crisis. She stated that whatever they could do to reduce the divide between urban and rural areas and ensure that everyone understood that they were all in this together was essential. She stated that if you were taking away rural water for urban people, everyone needed to be doing their part in the urban area and not waste resources. She stated that when she received pictures of businesses hosing down their sides of buildings or sidewalks instead of using a broom, it raised everyone's concerns when discussing drought.

6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

For matters not listed on the agenda for public hearing

Mr. Gaffney stated the rules for public comment.

Peggy Gilges, Jack Jouett district of Albemarle County, stated that she had property in Sugar Hollow along the Moormans River. She stated there were concerns about the low flow of the Moorman's River for the past year. She stated that the reservoir had been maintained at a high level. She stated that she wanted to talk about AC44 and the concern she had with groundwater. She stated that the County and the City needed to do a study of their groundwater capacity, as they did not have a good idea of where the aquifers were located or what the capacity was.

Ms. Gilges stated that she noticed in her community people who had ACSA water service installing wells in their backyards so they could freely water their green lawns. She stated she observed people with their sprinklers on during rainfall, which distressed her as it seemed that the Authority were not doing the job of monitoring wells and knowing where wells were being used in the urban ring when service was provided with treated water. She stated she was concerned that people felt groundwater was a free resource, but nature also needed water.

Mr. Gaffney closed the items from the public.

7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS 323 There were no responses to items from the public. 324 325 8. CONSENT AGENDA 326 327 a. Staff Report on Finance 328 b. Staff Report on Operations 329 330 c. Staff Report on CIP Projects 331 332 d. Staff Report on Administration and Communications 333 334 e. Staff Report on Wholesale Metering 335 336 Staff Report on Drought Monitoring 337 338 Mr. Pinkston moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Mr. Sanders seconded the motion, 339 which passed unanimously (7-0). 340 341 9. OTHER BUSINESS 342 a. Presentation: Rivanna Conservation Alliance's Water Quality Monitoring and Related 343 344 Activities 345 Claire Sanderson, Monitoring Program Manager at RCA, stated that she would be co-presenting 346 with Lisa Wittenborn, Executive Director of RCA. She stated that they had their new stream 347 health report available, which they published annually. She stated the Rivanna Conservation 348 Alliance was established in 2016 as a merger between the Rivanna Conservation Society, which 349 focused on advocacy, outreach, and education related to water quality, and StreamWatch, which 350 concentrated on collecting high-quality water data. She stated that upon merging, their mission 351 became working with the community to conserve the Rivanna River and its tributaries through 352 monitoring, restoration, education, and advocacy. 353 354 355 Ms. Sanderson stated that the bacteria monitoring sites focused on urban and recreational streams and rivers, primarily in Charlottesville, with some in Albemarle County and Fluvanna County. 356 She stated that they had 21 bacterial monitoring sites. She stated that they also had 50 long-term 357 358 benthic monitoring sites scattered throughout the watershed, providing valuable information on long-term stream health. She stated that their bacteria and benthic monitoring programs were 359 certified by the DEQ as Level Three, meaning that the data collected by their volunteers and 360 themselves was of high quality and comparable to that collected by DEQ. 361 362 Ms. Sanderson stated the data could be used to inform various environmental decisions without 363 requiring additional data collection. She stated that while they collaborated closely with DEQ in 364

developing their monitoring programs and protocols, they also had their own scientific advisory

committee comprising of local professionals in water and water quality. She stated one of its

members was RWSA's Water Resources Manager, Andrea Bowles.

October 24, 2023

365

366

Ms. Sanderson stated that for the bacteria monitoring program, volunteers collected water samples, and they tested them for E.coli using IDEX's Colilert and measured turbidity. She stated that they sampled 19 urban sites monthly from March to November, which gave them a monthly checkup to ensure that nothing was going wrong. She stated that they had a weekly spring monitoring program where they sampled nine sites with potential recreational use. She stated this helped them determine if these sites met the revised Virginia recreational water quality standard, which required at least 10 samples to be collected over 90 days.

Ms. Sanderson stated their summer weekly bacteria monitoring program sampled bacteria at three high recreational sites along the Rivanna River: Darden Towe, Riverview Park, and Palmyra Boat Launch. She stated that this was in conjunction with the James River Association's James River Watch program. She stated they posted their data onto their site and also the swim guide app going into the weekend so recreational users could make informed decisions about whether or not they wanted to jump in the Rivanna.

Ms. Sanderson stated that they had started a bacteria source tracking program in conjunction with the City of Charlottesville, with lots of input from the SAC. She stated they now conducted extra monitoring at sites that had continued elevated E. coli levels that were unexplainable. She stated that they were employing environmental DNA sequencing technology to determine the host or most likely host species of E. coli bacteria entering the streams, whether it was humans, deer, or other species.

Ms. Sanderson stated their biological monitoring programs sampled benthic macroinvertebrates. She stated that different families had different levels of tolerance to pollution. She stated that depending on who they found and how many they found, it gave them a really nice indication of water quality in their streams. She stated that volunteers went out twice a year in the spring and fall to monitor 50 long-term sites. She stated they had a couple of contract sites with Albemarle County. She stated that due to low precipitation and stream flows, they had not been able to sample some smaller streams this season.

Ms. Sanderson stated that volunteers also assisted in the laboratory. She stated they brought back any unknown benthic macroinvertebrates that they could not identify at the family level in the field and identified them under the microscopes. She stated that both their bacterial and benthic data were shared on their website, as well as with their local partners, including RWSA. She stated the information could be used to inform and educate the public. She stated they utilized their monitoring data and protocols in many of their educational programs. She stated that they identified pollution hotspots and aimed to help rectify the issues using their bacteria source tracking program.

Ms. Sanderson stated that the data could guide local water resource planning and protection efforts, assist DEQ and EPA with assessing water quality and identifying impaired waters. She stated that their data, in conjunction with DEQ's data, had led to 175 miles of streams and rivers within the Rivanna watershed being identified as impaired. She stated that this also guided the cleanup actions that were necessary, such as the TMDLs. She stated that their data could be used to evaluate the impact of water quality improvement efforts, such as the Biscuit Run restoration efforts by Albemarle County. She stated that they were collecting data either side of that area,

benthic and bacteria data.

Lisa Wittenborn, Executive Director of RCA stated that she would like to discuss their educational programs because they had experienced some wonderful synergy with RWSA staff in their education work. She stated that they were able to expand the sixth-grade field trip program, which they had been working on with Burley Middle School for approximately six years. She stated that thanks to funding from the County and grants, they extended that program to all middle schools in the County. She stated that as a result, 1,000 sixth graders had the opportunity to test their streams, get their hands wet, and explore areas around their schools.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that they had been working with Buford Middle School for the past three years. She stated that this week, they were out with all of the seventh graders, and they were able to bring them to Camp Albemarle, where they could participate in water sampling for macroinvertebrates, nature hikes, games, and other activities. She stated that in the spring, due to the significant expansion of the program, they issued a major call for volunteers. She stated that both RWSA and RSWA encouraged their employees to join them. She stated they had numerous staff members assisting in the field, which was beneficial to have additional personnel but also to provide context to their work.

Ms. Wittenborn stated when they were at Henley Middle School in Crozet, they were standing on the sewer line connecting Crozet. She stated that as a result, they could discuss where their wastewater went and what was happening. She stated they conducted some of the chemical testing that took place in the lab. She stated that this year, they started working with the UVA Star Hill Pathways Program, which was a new program with the Equity Center. She stated that part of their involvement was showing different career pathways in their area. She stated they were looking at water quality and water resource management.

Ms. Wittenborn stated one of the field trips that they took them on was to the drinking water treatment plant in Crozet. She stated they were not able to go to the big plant because it was under construction. She stated they went to the treatment plant and met with a bunch of different staff who showed them the lab and how it worked. She stated they also went down to the reservoir to show them where the source water was coming from.

 Ms. Wittenborn stated they also did a lot of education programs at events like River Fest, which is an event that RWSA helped sponsor. She stated she had led a group of middle schoolers from Tandem on a hike down the Rivanna Trail, and it was the first time she had ever seen the treated effluent outfall. She stated it was a teachable moment. She stated that she told the students that the water coming into the stream was cleaner than what was in the stream. She clarified that this was correct.

Mr. Mawyer stated sure.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that another project that they were likely to be partnering with RWSA on to some extent was the Rivanna Restoration at Riverview Park Project. She stated they were still waiting to hear about funding from the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. She stated they had some issues on their end, so they were waiting to hear back. She stated this project would

basically involve restoring 600 linear feet of the riverbank and a stormwater outfall. She stated that there was an area where stormwater from the Woolen Mills neighborhood met the river, causing significant erosion. She stated that there was currently a 12-foot deep crevasse, which was eating into the park and located near the Albemarle County Service Authority line.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that they had been working with them on this issue, and it continued to get closer to the RWSA wastewater line that ran through the park. She stated that as part of their project, they would collaborate with the City to stabilize the area by installing what were called step pools. She stated it would help treat the stormwater as it entered the river, prevent erosion from both directions, and serve as an attractive feature in the park. She stated that there was a proposal to move the Rivanna Trail above the sewer line, which they had already discussed with local stakeholders. She stated that keeping that area open and free of trees would allow them to plant trees inside the banks to help stabilize and restore them.

Ms. Wittenborn stated the Rivanna River Resilience Partnership focused on protecting the forest in the urban river corridor. She stated the project extended from the confluence of the north and south forks down to Moors Creek. She stated that there was a strong emphasis on planting new trees, but it was crucial to protect what they already had for various reasons, not just water quality. She stated that the grant had been secured to bring together local governments, nonprofits, and volunteer organizations to conduct assessments of invasive vines, trees, and shrubs that threatened their forest health and resilience in parks such as Penn Park, Riverview Park, and Darden Towe Park. She stated many of the lines went through these parks.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that they would be conducting invasive assessments, native canopy assessments, and prioritizing areas for invasive management. She stated the grant included funding to hire a contractor for the first round of management in many of these areas. She stated volunteer groups would then adopt areas to maintain over time, providing long-term benefits. She stated there was funding available for replanting trees in any areas requiring more canopy coverage.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that regarding the North Fork Dam, she understood there may be discussions about its removal at some point in the future. She stated that they received a grant from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to assess road stream crossings, which were often culverts or bridges. She stated that the goal of this assessment was to identify fish passage issues that could impact the survival of fish during times of low water levels and increasing water temperatures. She stated that fish needed access to habitat and pools for their survival. She stated that this was also important for freshwater mussels, which attached themselves to the fish as they moved up and down streams. She stated that they went out and assessed almost 250 different culverts according to a protocol called NAC.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that the protocol spit out a value in terms of how much of a barrier that particular crossing was. She stated that a culvert perched high above the stream could be an example where fish were swimming up but could not get into it to reach the other side. She stated that there were various types of barriers, but this issue was growing in importance. She stated that they focused their assessments on areas with potential or actual trout habitat because they were a cold-water fish species, so this was also important for them, as well as areas where

migratory fish had been found. She stated that this was done partially through a fish survey they conducted in 2019. She stated that the migratory species in their watershed included eels, which were a threatened species not federally listed but considered a species of concern.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that sea lamprey were a menace in the Great Lakes with large mouths that ate all the fish, but when they lived there, they were very small and did not feed on other fish. She stated that they spawned there and then swam out into the ocean as their adult stage. She stated that eels and sea lamprey needed free passage into their watershed all the way out to the ocean. She stated that these species were good indicators of where there were barriers, where they were finding them. She expressed her gratitude for the ongoing support of their programs, stating that financial and staff support made a huge difference in allowing them to do all of the monitoring work and other tasks currently undertaken.

Ms. Mallek asked if Ms. Sanderson was referring to the North Fork Dam located in Advance Mills or some other dam.

Ms. Wittenborn stated that her understanding was that if the North Fork Water Treatment Plant went offline, there might be some discussion about potential consequences.

Ms. Mallek asked if there was another closer to 29.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he believed so. He stated that there was a small dam near the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant. He stated that when they decommissioned that plant, they were planning to remove what was called the low head dam.

Ms. Mallek asked if the green and purple colors on the map displayed were referring to the North Fork TMDL process the DEQ was doing.

535 Ms. Wittenborn stated yes.

b. Presentation: Value Engineering Program Review
Jennifer Whitaker, P.E., Director of Engineering and Maintenance

Ms. Jennifer Whitaker stated that she would like to provide a brief review of the Value Engineering program at Rivanna. She stated that she would begin by discussing what value engineering was and what it was not. She stated that it was easy to think of it as cost cutting, but it was actually more complex than that. She explained that value engineering was a process to review project elements, such as key components, people involved, and other aspects during the design phase in an effort to reduce costs or increase functionality, ideally achieving both. She defined value as the most cost-effective way of producing a project without compromising its purpose. She stated that it was about optimization. She stated that value engineering was not just reducing costs at all costs or sacrificing quality. She stated that instead, it focused on gaining value for the dollars spent.

Ms. Whitaker stated that the value engineering process was developed during World War II by engineers and managers at the General Electric Company while manufacturing airplane engines.

She stated that there were extensive nationwide material shortages which really drove up the cost of products and reduced their availability. She stated that to some degree, it was similar to what we are seeing now with some supply chain shortages. She stated that they were working to identify substitutes. She stated that they did not have a lot of time to do substitutions during the war when they were trying to meet production quotas. She stated that they developed a very systematic process where they could balance function and cost, make a determination, and then move quickly through the process.

Ms. Whitaker stated that RWSA had a value engineering program with key dates listed from its more recent history. She stated that one was a Board-adopted policy in 2014, a staff presentation to the Board in 2019, and a general administrative procedure in 2022. She stated that it was also worth noting that RWSA first employed value engineering during the Ragged Mountain Dam project from 2008 to 2010. She stated that they hired an independent technical review team (ITRT), which was made up of world-class experts on roller-compacted concrete dam design and foundation design. She stated that it took them almost a year to hire the team itself because the key with value engineering is having the right people in the room at the right time. She stated that they brought these experts in, evaluated everything they had gone through for the previous five years on design.

Ms. Whitaker stated that at the time, they were looking at a roller-compacted concrete dam cost that was slowly creeping up towards the \$85 million. She stated that they could no longer afford to do the project, so they brought in technical experts to walk through all the design details. She stated that the ITRT ultimately recommended that RWSA go with an earthen dam, which saved 50% to 75% of the cost of the project. She stated that the current VE process was not something completely foreign to them; they had been using it for a while. She stated that over the years, staff had refined a unique formalized process to do efficient value engineering reviews. She stated that this approach had been employed at RWSA since about 2008.

Ms. Whitaker explained that there was a six-step methodology. She stated the first step was to gather information, which involved obtaining a clear understanding of the project, including data, drawings, facts, figures, the purpose of the project, its scope, and all related aspects. She stated that the next step was functional analysis. She stated that in this stage, they worked with a specialized team to examine why the project was being undertaken, identify the key components of the project, pinpoint cost drivers, and determine how to meet primary functions while also considering secondary functions that may emerge from the project.

Ms. Whitaker stated that the third item was creative speculation. She stated that although it might seem counterintuitive to associate engineers with creativity, she stated that this stage involved brainstorming and exploring various ways to achieve the intended process and function of the project while potentially using different materials or methods for installation or construction. She stated that the objective was to be creative and think globally, considering a broader perspective. She stated that this was where the value engineering process was particularly helpful in identifying weaknesses or missing elements that needed to be incorporated.

Ms. Whitaker stated that the fourth step involved evaluating all alternatives and highlighting those most likely to bring functional improvements and cost savings. She stated that cost analysis

was the fifth step, focusing on capital costs, ease of operations, and operating expenses. She stated that finally, the development step entailed identifying what would work, creating a roadmap, and developing schematic designs for implementation into the project. She stated that this process typically took anywhere from one day to three or four days, as demonstrated by Ragged Mountain Dam's multiple workshops over several weeks. She stated that sometimes hiring the right technical expertise could take longer.

Ms. Whitaker stated with that in mind, she would explain key Value Engineering criteria for Rivanna. She stated that value engineering was automatically considered for all projects over \$5 million. She stated that if it was a smaller project but they thought value engineering would be useful, they would use it as well. She stated that the flip side was true in that if they had a larger project where value engineering was not likely to produce results because the project was so constrained, they sometimes granted a waiver to the value engineering process. She stated that they incorporated independent technical expertise along with the design team and staff.

Ms. Whitaker stated that even on the smallest of projects, they tried to bring in at least one person from outside of any of the design firms involved. She stated that they would sometimes bring in someone from the design firm who had not been involved in a project at all, such as from a different office or part of the company. She stated that they also tried to also bring in technical experts who were specialists in the topics they thought might be most problematic, like pipe experts or excavators or construction experts. She stated that they typically conducted VE study work at the 30 to 60% design phase, and left themselves open to bring the VE team back a second or third time should it be necessary.

 Ms. Whitaker stated that they tried to conduct workshops offsite and if they could not get offsite, then out of the workaday environment. She stated that it was similar to a retreat, where they were bringing a lot of people together to do some heavy-duty thinking in a short period of time and they really did not want too many interruptions. She stated that they wanted an informal, collegial atmosphere with people coming up with ideas. She stated that they found that this worked fairly well. She stated that they were focusing on key ideas with a high likelihood of success, as they did not have time to tackle every possible bolt and nut on their project. They focused on the things that either cost a lot of money or brought the most value. She stated that in the end, the team documented all outcomes, including cost savings and value brought to the project.

Ms. Whitaker indicated on the slide the results from their VE study for the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant. She stated that each bullet represented a different area on the plant. She stated that this was a large project located across a geographic area, and they highlighted various ways to optimize or bring value throughout the process. She stated that they added two items to the project, which were numbers seven and eight. She stated that a balance sheet at the end of a VE study included negatives and positives, with the goal of achieving cost reduction or cost equivalency. She stated that they managed to reduce the project's cost by \$800,000, which was approximately a 5.5% reduction. She stated that embedded in that number were some key things they added to the project for safety and reliability in their generators.

Ms. Whitaker stated that she would discuss a few recent VE studies and then the projects that

they anticipated having VE studies done in the coming years. She stated that they had just completed the Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory, Pipeline, and Pump Station VE study, which was an interesting exercise. She stated that everyone thought their focus would be on the pump station, but they actually had some interesting conversations about pipe construction because right now in their business, the cost drivers were getting pipe and electrical. She stated that they were conducting the administrative and engineering building expansion VE study in early November, and the remaining projects were TBD but were all slated to include a VE component in their design.

Ms. Mallek stated that one of her neighbors in Earlysville owned property in West Virginia where the MVP was going through, and they were having to dig up pipe that had been sitting above ground for like six years. She stated that it was all corroded and everything like that, which was a horrifying prospect when thinking about putting in a pipeline. She asked if their suppliers had to stipulate how long it had been since it was coated.

Ms. Whitaker stated that they had several different layers built into how they specified pipe to protect what ultimately ended up in the ground. She confirmed that one of the things the industry was doing right now was not agreeing to sell large lengths of pipe, for example a contractor could not put in an order for five miles of pipe. She stated that they would only sell short batches of pipe as needed. She stated that it did keep from having a lot of aging pipe sitting on a work site. She stated that they required the contractor to protect the pipe and inspected it when it came off the truck. She stated that their pipe was large diameter and very expensive on a per unit basis.

Ms. Whitaker stated that they typically specified outside coatings, protective coatings, as well as interior coatings. She stated that they inspected it as it came off the truck and before it went into the ground. She stated that the other thing she would mention was gaskets. She stated that although it may sound crazy, if they had a rubber gasket that sat for five years, they must be stored in a certain way and kept out of the sun. She stated that they did have a pretty tight quality control program before they put the pipe itself into the project. She stated that for them, ductile iron pipe could sit for a long period of time and still be safe to go into the ground, however, they did a fair amount of checking as it went through the process.

Mr. Pinkston stated that it seemed similar to conducting a peer review halfway through a complex project, which allowed for an additional perspective on the project.

Ms. Whitaker agreed that it was, and she may have failed to mention that they have adopted a value engineering mentality in their own internal reviews as well. She stated that they hope that by the time they get to VE, a lot of the obvious things have already been taken care of by the project managers.

Mr. Pinkston asked if there were typical firms they went to in order to do this.

Ms. Whitaker stated that they tried hiring VE firms. She stated that historically, 10 to 15 years ago, VE had been conducted exclusively by companies that specialized in VE. She stated that it may still be done that way, however, they discovered that when contracting with a firm, the team and office on the East Coast often collapsed. She stated that this process could be quite

cumbersome if every checkbox was followed. She stated that consequently, they had developed a more streamlined approach, which they believed provided the value of bringing in outside resources without spending money on peripheral aspects of the process. She stated that as a result, they had reduced this process to just a few days with very specific requirements.

694

Mr. Pinkston asked if they had one engineering firm doing the design, whether they would bring in another firm.

697

Ms. Whitaker stated that they always brought in at least one person from the outside. She stated that this person might be another company working for them, or they could be independent technical experts.

701

702 Mr. Pinkston asked if the teams got along when they did that.

703

Ms. Whitaker stated that it was quite remarkable how everyone seemed to be pulling in the same direction. She stated that they had set some ground rules upfront, stating that their goal was to bring the best product to Rivanna and the ratepayers, and everyone was there for this purpose. She stated that the design engineer had an hour to present their design, showcasing their project. She stated that this provided them with an opportunity to be proud of the work they had done and explain how they made their decisions.

710 711

712

713

Ms. Whitaker stated that other participants were actively engaged in understanding these choices, asking questions such as the reasoning behind decisions and contemplating alternative ideas added to the list for further discussion later. She stated that the collaborative approach quickly emerged as everyone worked together toward a common goal.

714 715 716

Mr. Pinkston asked if they also verified constructability, looking for options to speed up the process or sequence work, or if that came later when they had a contract.

717 718

Ms. Whitaker stated that it was a bit of both. She stated that constructability, particularly for these projects, was really hard. She stated that constructability was huge for these plants because they had to keep them operating the entire time, so that was how they came up with the Observatory shutdown, followed by the sequential steps. She stated that other projects included a separate constructability review that they would do with a construction firm, or there were several firms that did large projects that would give help in cost estimating or sequencing.

725

Mr. Gaffney asked when the photograph of the Observatory Water Treatment Plant was taken.

727

Ms. Whitaker replied that it was within the last two or three months.

729

10. Presentation: Major Capital Projects Update
 Scott Schiller, P.E., Engineering Manager

731

Mr. Schiller stated that Ms. Whitaker's image was a good juxtaposition to his cover slide, which showed the Observatory Water Treatment Plant before construction. He noted that it was slightly greener and had more available space than what they currently had. He stated that he would be

doing the major capital projects update and wanted to show that because they would see the Observatory Water Treatment Plant under construction later on, similar to Ms. Whitaker's provided image but from different vantage points. He stated that he planned to start with projects already under construction. The Observatory and South Rivanna Water Treatment Plants Rehabilitation and Expansion Project, which aimed to increase the treatment capacity at the Observatory Water Treatment Plant from 7.7 MGD to 10 MGD and improve South Rivanna's ability to treat up to 12 MGD.

Mr. Schiller stated that this project included plate settlers, a new chemical building, as well as an expansion to the GAC facility at Observatory, and then a new alum and fluoride building, administration building, and a couple of new filters at South Rivanna. He indicated the new administration building on the slide. He indicated the liquid lime, which they actually enclosed in a structure. He stated that they had added two new filters to the filter building and the plant, which now included an alum and fluoride building as well. He mentioned that there were numerous other improvements throughout the facility in addition to that. He stated the next slide showed the Observatory plant from a different view, displaying how they had expanded the filter building for the new backwash pumps.

Mr. Schiller stated that the new chemical building was adjacent to the old pretreatment building. He stated that they had also demolished two sedimentation basins and that with the addition of plate settlers in the remaining two basins, they effectively doubled their capacity, eliminating the need for the two sedimentation basins on the other side. He stated that they were installing a loop road, and the retaining wall was to help support that loop road. He explained that this retaining wall was around the location where the two sedimentation bases that were demoed had been. He noted the old wall from the slow sand filter, dating back to the 1940s and 1950s, was still present at the facility. He stated that it was a thorn in their side, no matter where they dug, they found it as it was a massive structure. He stated that they were looking for this project to be complete in March of 2024 with a budget of \$43 million dollars.

Mr. Schiller stated that he would next discuss the Airport Road pump station project. He stated that this would reliably connect the Piney Mountain pressure zone to the Urban pressure zone. He indicated the two pumps and stated that that this would take the lower pressure from the urban system, boost it up, and send it into the Piney Mountain tank, supplying the Piney Mountain pressure zone. He stated that this would take the place of what they called the Kohl's pump right now, which was a temporary pump at the entrance of the Kohl's shopping center. And stated that this would also be part of the future airport water pressure zone when it was created. He stated that currently, they were looking at this project being complete in September of 2024, with a budget of \$10 million.

Mr. Schiller stated that next was the Moores Creek 5kV electrical system upgrade project. He stated that the intent of this project was the replacement of several major electrical components at this plant. He stated that the plant was constructed in the 1980s, so there was some infrastructure that was over 40 years old out there that had reached the end of its serviceable life. He stated that this included motor control centers, transformers, switch gear, and other electrical improvements.

Mr. Schiller stated that the problem, as Ms. Whitaker mentioned, was that they were experiencing a lot of equipment delivery time issues with those types of products, which had unfortunately caused significant delays to this project. He stated that fortunately, the contractor had spent plenty of time getting a lot of the conduit in and some concrete pads and prep essentially for when that equipment was delivered, so they would have no excuses when they arrived on site. He stated that they were now looking for the project to be complete in December of 2024 based on those delivery schedules and a budget of \$5.6 million.

Mr. Schiller stated that the next project was Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades, which was a well facility but operated more as a treatment plant. He showed an image of the inside of the existing well building, located with numerous chemical equipment. He stated that the intent of the project would be to expand the facility and provide additional chemical storage space, allowing for some automation and monitoring. He stated that they also planned to add GAC or granular activated carbon treatment at this facility. He stated that a pre-bid for this project was scheduled for Thursday, and they would accept bids by the end of November. He stated that construction was expected to run from February 2024 to February 2025. He stated that the total budget for the project was \$800,000, and they had received \$400,000 in funding from the County.

Mr. Gaffney asked Mr. Schiller to let the Board know what Red Hill fed.

Mr. Schiller stated that it fed the school there and a small residential development. He noted that it was a very small system.

Ms. Mallek asked if the reason for the GAC was due to deficiencies in the well water.

Mr. Schiller stated that it was more or less to ensure that they provided uniformly treated water for the entire community. He stated that next, he would move into design phase projects. He stated that the first project was the South Fork Rivanna River crossing, which would cross the south fork of the river, and the first line was over on the east side of 29. He stated that a 24-inch line on the west side would be installed. He stated that the full alignment of this project was represented by the yellow line on the aerial photograph displayed, and the water line under the river would be installed using horizontal directional drilling methods.

Mr. Schiller stated that this involved a high-density polyethylene pipe that curved under the river and then connected to ductile iron piping on either side as it linked to a stub-out at the treatment plant. He stated that additionally, there was ductile iron piping on the west side of 29 that had been placed during the 29 widening process. He stated that they were approximately 90% complete with the design phase and were currently working through final easement acquisitions. He stated that construction was expected to begin in spring around May and continue until December 2025, with a budget of \$7 million.

Mr. Pinkston asked what the diameter was for the new pipe.

Mr. Schiller stated that it was 24 inches.

Mr. Pinkston stated that he had never seen directional boring. 827

828

Mr. Schiller indicated on the slide the dotted line that represented the easement. He stated that 829 there would be a long drawback section, so they would assemble and fuse HDPE pipe sections 830 together, and that the installation process was similar to companies we've seen installing 831

fiberoptic cables on the side of the road, but much larger. 832

833

Ms. Mallek asked what made the hole in the rock for this pipe to go through. 834

835

Mr. Schiller stated that it was a drilling machine. 836

837

Ms. Mallek asked if it was a small version of what they did for the Rivanna Pump Station tunnel. 838

839

- 840 Mr. Schiller stated that that was a tunneling machine. He stated that he would next discuss the
- Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant water line and pump station. 841
- He stated that he mentioned earlier about Observatory being upgraded to 10 MGD. He stated that 842
- the intent of this project was to provide a more reliable 10 MGD source of raw water to the 843
- treatment plant. He stated that this would replace outdated infrastructure, as they had a 40-year-844
- old and a 70-year-old pump station and 70 to 110-year-old water lines coming from Ragged 845
- Mountain to Observatory. He indicated on the slide that the alignment would connect into the 846
- Ragged Mountain Reservoir over on the west side. He stated that they planned to have a new 847
- pump station located at the Fox Haven Farm property. He stated that the line would extend north 848
- to connect into the line already installed on the Birdwood Golf Course and then head east, 849
- drilling under 29 before connecting in up at the Observatory Water Treatment Plant. He stated 850
- that all easements and property acquisitions in the red areas were complete, including those with 851
- the UVA Foundation. He stated that the yellow line was through the UVA property, and that had 852
- been finalized, but they were still working on filing paperwork. 853

854 855

Mr. Schiller stated yes, the primary objective of the west-to-east pipeline was to supply water to the Observatory Water Treatment Plant.

856 857

859

Mr. Pinkston asked if where it was going north was part of the larger transfer. 858

860 861

Mr. Schiller stated yes, that was part of the larger transfer between the two reservoirs.

862

Mr. Pinkston asked if there was some sort of valving as they approached the area.

- Mr. Schiller stated that there was quite a bit involved, and that was actually what the image on 864
- the slide somewhat was intended to show. He stated all of the various valves were going to be in 865
- the yard at this pump station. He stated that not only would they be feeding water from Ragged 866 Mountain to Observatory, but there would also be times when they had to accept water from 867
- South Rivanna and send it through to the reservoir. He stated that there would be other times 868
- when they would be sending Ragged Mountain water up to the South Rivanna Water Treatment 869
- Plant, and then also other times when they could potentially be sending South Rivanna water to 870
- 871 the Observatory Water Treatment Plant. He stated that there were numerous options available,
- and a very complicated valving setup would be necessary. 872

Mr. Gaffney asked if it was the same pipe from the Ragged Mountain pump station would be used for going to the Observatory.

Mr. Schiller stated yes.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the pump station building would be large enough to house pumps to transfer water from Ragged to Observatory, and also to transfer water from Ragged back to South Rivanna. He stated that initially, they would install only the pumps for the Ragged to Observatory water transfer, and then with the Rivanna to Ragged pipeline project, they would add the additional pumps needed to transfer water from Ragged to the South Rivanna WTP.

Mr. O'Connell asked if staff would come back at a later date.

Mr. Schiller stated yes, there would be some refinements at this station between programming and pumps. He stated that also, there would be another pump station installed at the South Fork Reservoir, which would serve as the main pumps and wet well. He stated that one of the things they enjoyed discussing with consultants on this project was that they frequently informed them about the 4,900 control points associated with all the various pumping scenarios that the station would have to manage. He stated that he did not know if they were complaining to him or just letting him know how important they were, but it was a fairly complicated design. He stated that they were looking forward to some good Water Jam presentations about this project as well.

Ms. Mallek asked if there was any chance to run these pumps off of solar power in order to reduce energy consumption.

Mr. Schiller stated yes, they were planning for solar panels to supplement the power needed to run the pumps. He stated that they had acquired all easements and were working with UVA on the final components of the Observatory property. He stated that as Ms. Whitaker mentioned, they had performed a VE workshop on this project, and they were going through a number of the recommendations that came out of that. He stated that they were moving forward with a 60% design. He stated that they could look for this project to go into construction in September of 2024 and continue until December of 2028, with a budget of \$44 million.

Mr. Schiller stated that the Central Water Line would increase capacity to the Observatory and enhance water conveyance by getting water into the distribution system. He stated that right now, the Observatory was locked hydraulically essentially, so even if they wanted to treat more water, they could not. He stated that it was about the hydraulics of the system. He stated that this would improve water flow and pressure redundancy in the urban system and then hydraulically connect the Observatory Water Treatment Plant to some of the larger lines out in the central and eastern part of the distribution system. He stated that they were at 60% design on this particular project at the moment, and they were working with the City on some additional design work for waterline replacements on Lewis Street and Cleveland Street. He also stated that they were working with them on the Belmont Bridge area and some refinements to the waterline there as well.

Mr. Pinkston asked if they had identified all of their alignments and where they would run the pipe.

921

Mr. Schiller stated yes. He stated that displayed on the slide was a 60% design document where they had located the line and designed the pipe profiles. He stated that they were focusing more on the utility interaction and conflicts and how to address them effectively before moving forward with final waterline relocations.

926

Mr. Pinkston stated this appeared to be quite similar to what they had initially planned to accomplish.

929

930 Mr. Schiller asked if Mr. Pinkston was referring to the location.

931

932 Mr. Pinkston stated yes.

933

934 Mr. Mawyer confirmed there were no changes to the pipe locations, except with the area under 935 the railroad tracks near East High Street. He stated that they had a path in mind, but they were 936 going to have to detour a bit. He stated that there was a developer who had some property there, 937 and he had development plans they were trying to accommodate.

938

939 Mr. O'Connell asked if they had figured that out or if it was still being studied.

940 941

942

943

944

945

946

Mr. Schiller stated that it was still being reviewed by the consultant. He stated that they had several options and were working to refine them in order to present them for evaluation by the City. He stated that they were looking to start construction on this project in December 2024 and continue until December 2028, with a budget of \$41M. He stated that the next project was the Emmett Street Water Line Betterment project. He stated that the Urban Finished Water Master Plan had identified the benefit of having an upgraded and extended waterline along Emmett Street, recognizing that this was a busy corridor.

947948949

950951

952

953

954

955

956

Mr. Schiller stated that they wanted to try to work with other projects in the area so that they could get the pipe in at a smaller cost and less disturbance, and as a result, the map displayed on the slide showed the corridor and identified other projects that they could cooperate with them from a betterment perspective. He stated that this had been done with the UVA, the City, and VDOT, and two of those projects had already been completed. He stated that those were Contemplative Commons at the Dell Pond, and the Ivy Corridor Public Realm project by the intersection. He stated that they were currently working with the City on the first phase of the Emmett Street Streetscape project, and had also been discussing with VDOT regarding some enhancements at the 29 interchange.

- Mr. Schiller stated that it appeared that there would be no betterment opportunities there,
- however, they were still working to ensure minor details were followed. He stated that
- additionally, they had infill projects that would need to be carried out as other projects progress.
- He stated that they were collaborating with the City on the streetscape design and a betterment
- agreement with them, and that they were anticipating construction to begin in 2024 and be
- completed in 2026, with a budget of \$2.9M. He stated that next he would review the

administration building at Morris Creek. He stated that the building was constructed in 1980 and was now in need of an interior upgrade and additional space to accommodate the growing Authority.

Mr. Schiller stated that this new or upgraded building would include a new boardroom and education centers, similar to what Loudoun County did, but tailored to their needs, as well as an updated lab space. He stated that they were currently at 60% design for this project, and they had scheduled a VE workshop for the first week in November. He stated that they would then move forward with the design process. He stated that the slide depicted an exterior rendering of the building, with the existing building visible in the background. He stated that he would provide another image later that would showcase both buildings together more effectively. He stated that their goal was to match the aesthetic of the existing building with the new one, making it appear more modern. He stated that construction was expected to begin in July 2024 and continue through December 2026, with an approximate budget of \$20 million.

Mr. Schiller stated that at Moores Creek, they had a structural and concrete rehabilitation project underway. He stated that last year's presentation featured a slide listing 20 projects for the area. He stated that they had since condensed these into three or four larger projects, including one that focused on various aspects of development at the plant, which would account for a number of repairs at the holding pond, including some concrete repair there, the equalization basins, which was closer to just over here on the west side of the administration building, where some concrete repair was also needed. He stated that the primary clarifiers required some repair work as well as the digester, with interim repairs before they built the new digestion complex, then the compost shed or the equipment shed, and they would be doing some repairs to that roof as well as the drainage system.

 Mr. Schiller stated that they would also provide better access to valves in the Rivanna pump station and find a more efficient way to remove pumps from the aeration basins than their current method. He stated that they were currently working on preliminary design for this project, with plans to start construction on September 2024 and continue through June 2026, with a budget of \$13.5M. He stated that again at Moores Creek, they had a building upfits and gravity thickener improvements project, which was similar to the administration building update. He stated that their operation staff and maintenance staff had been working in buildings existing since 1980, and they had long outgrown those locations.

Mr. Schiller stated that the slide showed a view of their existing maintenance shop, which was fairly congested, then the control room for their operators, which was actually an electrical room that they had converted into a control room. He stated that there were some definite upgrades required for their workspaces. He stated that improvements to the gravity thickeners would be made by improving the chemical feed system and providing cleanouts on the sludge lines, which they had had some problems with on the suction side of the sludge pumps. He stated that currently, they were going through a needs assessment or space needs assessment and developing concept plans.

Mr. Schiller stated that on the slide was just an example of a bubble layout, where they looked at the use of space and how much space they had, and then that could feed into basic conceptual

design and be used to move it to actual development design objectives. He stated that they were looking for the construction of this project to begin in August 2024 and continue until December 2025 with a budget of \$5 million. He stated that he would then move on to the Crozet pump station rehabilitation project. He stated that this project involved improvements to four wastewater pump stations constructed in the 1980s. He stated that it was a theme of replacing 1980s infrastructure.

Mr. Schiller stated that these were four pump stations that conveyed wastewater from the town of Crozet into the urban wastewater collection system. He stated that the improvements would include replacement pumps, valves, roofs in need of replacement, motor control centers, generators, automatic transfer switches, and some other architectural features. He stated that their third pump station had an interesting design due to property owner requirements so they had siding to replace at that pump station as well. He stated that they were at a 30% design phase on this project with construction anticipated for January 2025 through December 2026 with a budget of \$10.4 million.

Mr. Schiller stated that there were plans for a granular activated carbon expansion project at the Crozet water treatment plant, which would treat up to two million gallons per day after completion. He stated that the existing GAC facility was located near the filter building, and a new building would be constructed in the ACSA maintenance yard or storage yard. He stated that they were working closely with ACSA to coordinate demolition of the old building and access to the site. He stated that some items would be transferred to a new storage yard on Avon Street. He stated that they were going through a granular activated carbon media evaluation, and preliminary design was underway. He stated that construction was expected to begin in April 2025 and through October 2026, with a total budget of \$6.6 million. He stated that they had received a \$3.17 million grant from VDH for the project.

Mr. Schiller stated that next was the Beaver Creek Dam Pump Station and Piping Modifications project, which they had been discussing for some time. He stated that fortunately, they were moving into design and surveying, which he considered great. He stated that this was to upgrade the spillway at the dam to meet current DCR dam safety standards. He stated that the intended design was a labyrinth spillway, but unfortunately, as a result of that design, they would need to relocate the raw water pump station and improve the intake as well.

Mr. Schiller stated that this was part of a siting evaluation for where the pump station should go, so site one was selected for that pump station and the intake. He stated that they would also be installing a new raw water line, which would replace the current raw water line made of asbestos cement. He stated that survey work and design were beginning on this project in the fall, with construction expected to begin on April 2026 and continue until January 2029. He stated that the budget was \$43 million, but they anticipated significant grant funds from NRCS.

Mr. Schiller stated that finally, he would mention the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged
Mountain Reservoir Pipeline Intake and Facilities project. He stated that they were discussing the
next part of the raw water transfer project. He stated that there would be a new intake and pump
station at South Fork Rivanna Reservoir, with a waterline connecting into the north side of the
Birdwood waterline previously installed, which would interconnect with the Ragged Mountain

1057	pump station. He noted that a 36-inch waterline would run through the entire corridor.
1058	
1059	Mr. Schiller stated that all easements along the stretch had been acquired, and they were
1060	completing preliminary design work on the pump station and intake, trying to determine the best
1061	arrangement. He stated that on the slide was an actual aerial image, with the dam visible in the
1062	background, the road cutting around could be seen, as well as the new pump station and intake
1063	location adjacent to the existing intake pump station. He stated that construction for this project
1064	would with modifications to the Ragged Mountain reservoir in June of 2025 and continue
1065	through December of 2030, with a budget of \$80 million.
1066	
1067	Mr. O'Connell asked if all the pump stations and intake were located on Rivanna property.
1068	
1069	Mr. Mawyer stated that the pump station near the Rivanna reservoir was on City property that
1070	they leased.
1071	
1072	Mr. O'Connell asked what the legal status was.
1073	
1074	Mr. Mawyer stated that they were free to move forward with the project. He stated that it was
1075	included in the Ragged Mountain Dam project agreement that they leased the five acres
1076	necessary for the intake and the pump station from the City, so they had a right to utilize the
1077	area.
1078	
1079	Mr. Schiller displayed an image of the existing Administration building with the rendering of the
1080	addition to better depict what the future renovation would look like.
1081	
1082	10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA
1083	Mr. Gaffney asked if there were other items from Board members or staff not on the agenda and
1084	heard none.
1085	
1086	11. CLOSED MEETING
1087	There was no reason for a closed meeting.
1088	
1089	12. ADJOURNMENT
1090	At 3:43 p.m., Mr. Pinkston moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer
1091	Authority. Ms. Mallek seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).
1092	
1093	Respectfully submitted,
1094	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1095	JIII KUKUK
1096	Mr. Jeff Richardson
1097	Secretary - Treasurer
1098	

