RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Minutes of Regular Meeting
April 23, 2024

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, April 23, 2024 at 2:15 p.m. at Rivanna Administrative Building, (2nd Floor Conference Room), 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902.

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Samuel Sanders, Jeff Richardson, Lauren Hildebrand, Gary O'Connell, Ann Mallek, Brian Pinkston

Board Members Absent: None

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, David Tungate, Jennifer Whitaker, Lonnie Wood, Jacob Woodson, Deborah Anama, Bethany Houchens

Attorney(s) Present: Valerie Long

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Gaffney called the April 23, 2024, regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority to order at 2:15 p.m.

2. AGENDA APPROVAL

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board approve the agenda as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pinkston and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Sanders was absent).

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING

a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on March 26, 2024

Mr. Gaffney stated that he had one amendment to the minutes, which was to correct the date on line 7 to March 26, 2024.

Mr. Richardson moved that the Board approve the minutes of the March 26, 2024 meeting as amended. The motion was seconded by Ms. Mallek and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Sanders had not arrived).

4. RECOGNITIONS

a. Resolution of Appreciation for Gary O'Connell

Mr. Gaffney presented and read:

Resolution of Appreciation for Mr. Gary B. O'Connell

WHEREAS, Mr. O'Connell has served as a member of the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority
Board of Directors since 1995 and as a member of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board of Directors
from 1995 until 2010; and

WHEREAS, over that same period Mr. O'Connell has demonstrated leadership in water and sewer, solid waste and recycling services, and has been a valuable member of the Boards of Directors and a resource to the Authorities; and

WHEREAS, Mr. O'Connell's understanding of the water, sewer, solid waste and recycling operations of the Water & Sewer Authority and the Solid Waste Authority has supported a strategic decision-making process that provided benefits to the customers served by the City of Charlottesville and the Albemarle County Service Authority as well as the community as a whole. Through the leadership and skillful support of Mr. O'Connell, major regional initiatives, Agreements and projects were completed for the Authorities during his tenure including:

- The Environmental Memorandum of Understanding of 2005 which allocated the costs of maintaining the closed landfill cells at the Ivy Material Utilization Center between the City, County and UVA
- The Ragged Mountain Dam Project and Cost Allocation Agreements of 2012 to increase the community's drinking water supply by constructing a larger dam at the Ragged Mountain Reservoir and a connecting raw water pipeline from the South Rivanna Reservoir
- 2014 Wastewater Projects Cost Allocation Upgrades Agreement to address wet weather flows and future capacity needs of the Urban Wastewater System
- The Observatory Water Treatment Plant, Raw Water Pumping and Piping Upgrade Cost and Capacity Allocation Agreement of 2020 to upgrade and expand the water production capacity of the Observatory Water Treatment Plant from 7.7 to 10 mgd, as well as replace and upgrade the raw water pump stations and pipelines between the Ragged Mountain Reservoir and the Observatory Water Treatment Plant
- The Northern Area Drinking Water Projects Agreement of 2022 to allocate costs for construction of four new drinking water infrastructure projects, all planned within the northern area of the County described as follows:
 - 1. The Airport Road Water Pump Station and Piping Project
 - 2. The South Rivanna River Crossing Project
 - 3. The North Rivanna River Crossing Project
 - 4. The Water Storage Tank Project for the Airport Road Water Pump Station, along with all future capacity and non-capacity water facilities located north of the South Fork Rivanna River
- Major upgrade of Moores Creek AWRRF for nutrient reduction and wet weather capacity
- Major renovations of the South Rivanna and Observatory Water Treatment Plants
- Construction of the Central Water Line, a major drinking water pipeline to serve the Urban Area
- A Strategic Plan for both Authorities

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority and the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Boards of Directors recognize, thank, and commend Mr. O'Connell for his distinguished service, efforts, and achievements as a member of the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority and the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority, and present this Resolution as a token of esteem with best wishes in his future endeavors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution be entered upon both the permanent Minutes of the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority and the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority.

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board adopt the Resolution. The motion was seconded by Mr.
Pinkston and passed unanimously (5-0). (Mr. O'Connell abstained). (Mr. Sanders had not arrived).

Mr. Gaffney stated that the Resolution stated that it was presented to Gary O'Connell in appreciation of 29 years of dedicated service to the Rivanna Authorities.

Mr. O'Connell stated that he did not know if they knew this or not, but he had a glass case of glass globes, and he would put this gift in the center. He stated that when he moved to Charlottesville in 1981, he learned to trout fish in the Moormans River, above and below the dam. He stated that in a sense, he had been fishing his whole life, and the love of water was one of the things he really enjoyed here.

Mr. O'Connell stated that in 1995 he joined the Board, and it became an even more important part of trying to help protect water resources. He stated that he continued to fish in the Moormans River and actually went up there last week to fish above the Sugar Hollow dam and perform a quick dam inspection as part of the job, so it was a nice perk. He stated that when he became City Manager and talked to the previous City Manager, they started to tell him about Rivanna because it was a piece of cake to attend the Board meetings and not to worry about it. He stated that within six months, the Solid Waste Authority was sued by the neighbors.

Mr. O'Connell stated that he did not recall the exact date, but it did not feel like it was much longer that they had a consent order on the wastewater treatment plant and got into all those wastewater projects. He stated that as they had read, there were a lot of other projects as well. He stated that the project he was most proud of was the water supply plan, which was a team effort that included lots of different people. He stated that it involved many Board members, Executive Directors, attorneys, and others who committed to providing high-quality water to this community for a long time.

 Mr. O'Connell stated that he believed that they were the envy of the country when thinking about how clean the water in the Moormans River is and the fact that brook trout can live there, and that this water was provided to their residents, it was outstanding. He stated that this was the fun part of this job, and he appreciated the support that the Authority gives.

Ms. Mallek stated that for the first 20 years of his career, she was in the audience observing and pushing and supporting the work that Mr. O'Connell and the Authorities had helped carry forward. She stated what seared in her memory was the 19-agency meeting at the County Office Building in 2004 or 2005, when the Army Corps of Engineers representative stated that anyone who hoped they would receive their water from the James River should forget it; there was no water unassigned to the James and they should deal with it locally.

Ms. Mallek stated that they thankfully did not need to worry about that anymore. She stated that she agreed with Mr. O'Connell that it was a great team effort with a cast of hundreds who had worked on it for decades. She stated that it was an important legacy he had helped establish and she was proud of the work he had done.

Mr. Gaffney stated that he also came to the area in 1981 and did not know that Mr. O'Connell arrived during that time until now. He stated that it had been a pleasure serving alongside Mr.

O'Connell on the Board. He stated that he initially got to know him through the Chamber of

149 Commerce, where he was an ad hoc Board member. He stated that then, he had the opportunity

to work with him on the Rivanna Board and appreciated his dedication to the waters of their area

and the infrastructure.

Mr. Gaffney stated that rebuilding the entire infrastructure from scratch, with no financial resources initially, had been a challenging yet rewarding experience. He stated that Mr.

O'Connell had made huge contributions during this process, first with the City and then with the

Albemarle County Service Authority. He stated that he was so pleased to have served with Mr.

O'Connell for that long and appreciated his support in his position, but also pushing Rivanna in a

lot of ways to get them to the narrow path.

Mr. Gaffney stated that he absolutely agreed that this Rivanna Authority crew, through all of their help, was an envy in the United States. He stated that this was his belief, and it had always been their goal. He stated that they had achieved it, and while they had a lot more work ahead of them, the basis of what they had achieved was incredible and Mr. O'Connell had been a huge part of that success.

Mr. Pinkston stated that although he did not know Mr. O'Connell that well, his reputation preceded him, and he always appreciated his comments and had learned a lot from listening to him. He stated that as someone just coming into this work in the past couple of years, Mr. O'Connell had been a role model to a number of people around the table. He stated that he had not previously known very much about the water system but had learned so much in the past two years. He stated that he wanted to convey his thanks on behalf of all of those who did not know of the work Mr. O'Connell and others had done, and wished him luck and success in his retirement.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he would like to add that he met Mr. O'Connell in 1997 when he held the position of County Engineer and served on the Solid Waste Board. He stated that during that time, he worked alongside Mr. O'Connell for four years. He stated that over the past eight years, particularly in this role, Mr. O'Connell has been a great mentor to him. He stated that they would discuss various topics, and Mr. O'Connell would advise him and ask him to consider different perspectives. He stated that he recognized the depth of Mr. O'Connell's knowledge stemming from his extensive experience with community members and the processes he had navigated throughout his long tenure. He stated that he always appreciated Mr. O'Connell's help and thanked him.

Mr. O'Connell stated that he remembered when Mr. Mawyer stated that they would increase the water level in Ragged Mountain Reservoir by 12 feet, and he asked if he was sure it was the right time. He stated that it worked. He thanked everyone very much and stated he appreciated the opportunities and relationships during his time with the Rivanna Authorities.

5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Mawyer stated that it had been a busy month since they last met. He stated that first, he would like to acknowledge the promotion of a team member. He stated that Andrea Bowles found a better opportunity and moved on from the position of Water Resources Manager. He stated that after a competitive process, they were pleased to promote Bethany Houchens, who had been with them for eight years. He stated that Ms. Houchens held a bachelor's degree in environmental science and worked closely with Ms. Bowles for many years. He stated that they were very pleased to promote her. He stated that Ms. Houchens was also a certified Environmental Specialist.

Ms. Houchens stated that Rivanna provided her with an opportunity to receive comprehensive training while she had been employed here. She stated that the training covered various topics, some of which were not directly related to their work at Rivanna. She stated that the training focused on the CERCLA Reclamation Act, as well as watershed supplies, the National Primary Drinking Water Act, and the Clean Water Act.

Ms. Houchens stated that attending this training was a valuable experience offered by Rivanna. She stated that furthermore, she had received a Watershed Management certification through the EPA during her time at Rivanna. She stated that in her previous role as a Water Quality Specialist, she gained experience in both reservoir sampling and distribution systems. She stated that she hoped that this background would be beneficial as they moved forward.

Mr. Mawyer stated that Ms. Houchens and Mr. Tungate attended the Pantops Homeowners Association meeting last night and Ms. Houchens was introduced to the Pantops community. He stated that they were scheduled to attend the Crozet Advisory Committee next month. He stated that they aimed to familiarize the community with their new water resources personnel by having Ms. Houchens attend community events. He stated that over the past month, they had been actively communicating and collaborating.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they organized the Sixth Annual Central Virginia Utility Managers Meeting, which had been a tradition for the past six years. He stated that this year, they had 24 representatives of 10 different localities, from Augusta, Bedford, Waynesboro, Fluvanna, Harrisonburg, Maury County, Rappahannock Service Authority, Upper Occoquan Service Authority, Albemarle County Service Authority, and the City. He stated the event involved networking, discussing industry issues, and sharing experiences.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were members of the Virginia Water and Waste Authorities Association. He stated that he attended their annual meeting in Staunton this month, and was reelected as the First Vice Chair of the organization, so he was on that board of directors. He stated that they were also members of the Virginia Municipal Drinking Water Association, which also met this month. He stated that this group targeted Richmond and the legislation, advocating for water interests. Mr. Mawyer stated that this organization was created a few years ago to advocate for the water interests of utilities in addition to the Virginia Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies, which advocated for wastewater interests to the Virginia DEQ. He stated that they held quarterly meetings, and he was elected to the Board of Directors for that

organization as well. He stated that their outreach continued to expand.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were pleased to host students from middle schools visiting their Crozet Water Treatment Plant. He stated that students from Charlottesville Waldorf School and

241 Crozet Elementary School attended, as well as a group of UVA students who came to learn about

wastewater facilities. He stated that Betsy Nemeth organized several educational events for them.

He stated that they would celebrate during Drinking Water Week with our professionals

responsible for creating clean, safe drinking water.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they held a contractor information meeting at the Doubletree Hotel and invited contractors from far and wide to learn about our upcoming construction program. He stated that they informed them about the five projects they were preparing to advertise for construction bids. He stated that the Ragged to Observatory Treatment Plant pipeline and the Central Water Line passing through the City would be advertised for bidding towards the end of this year. He stated that next summer, they would bid the changes to the Ragged Mountain Reservoir to increase the normal pool level by 12 feet after completing perimeter vegetation clearing and altering the intake tower.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the final project was the Rivanna to Ragged pipeline, which they also planned to advertise for bidding next fall in 2025. He stated that this initiative at the contractor meeting aimed to generate interest and attract competitive pricing. He stated that attendees included bidders from various states, as well as suppliers and subcontractors. He stated that the event went well, and he appreciated Jennifer Whitaker, Scott Schiller, Michelle Simpson, Angela Ott, and Austin Marrs for their efforts to organize this event.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the Rivanna Pump Station restoration project continued. He stated that displayed on the slide was a photo of one of the pump motors after it was cleaned and removed from its submerged state. He stated that a four-by-four barrier had been placed between the former locations of the pumps and motors. He stated that the 6 pumps had been shipped to North Carolina for evaluation.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the independent engineer consultant had completed a draft review of the cause analysis, totaling approximately 400 pages. He stated that they were currently reviewing this document to ensure accuracy of facts and figures. He stated that once verified, they would share it with the insurance company for their input and concurrence on the cause of the event.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had established a Technical Advisory Committee, comprised of staff members, consultants, and contractors, to examine the pumping system's design. He stated that the committee would explore potential modifications, such as whether future pumps should be submersible and capable of functioning underwater. He stated that they would also weigh the pros and cons of various design changes to the pump station. He stated that this committee would support them in making informed decisions for the restoration of the pump station.

Mr. Pinkston asked when Mr. Mawyer anticipated receiving more information about the report.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were currently reviewing it and hoped to have it to the insurance

company within the next few weeks or one month. He stated that then, they would discuss it and hopefully reach an agreement on the cause of the incident.

285

Mr. Gaffney asked how many reports there would be. He stated that he knew there had been one so far.

288

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were only doing one through their independent consultant. He stated that their other consultant was working on how to restore the plant.

291

Mr. Gaffney asked if the insurance company was doing another report.

293

Mr. Mawyer stated that the insurance company had their own two engineers involved, but they were waiting for Rivanna's report. He stated that they may do their own independent assessment, but he believed they were waiting for the details of Rivanna's report to see if they agreed with their consultant's determination.

298

Ms. Mallek asked if the original designer, Hazen, was doing a study.

300

Mr. Mawyer stated the Hazen engineers were working on designs to replace the damaged electric equipment and HVAC penetration thru the stairwell wall into the pump room. He stated that this includes conduit and other submerged electrical equipment. He stated that they would also be reviewing the report, as he assumed they were interested in the engineer's assessment of the situation as well.

306 307

Mr. Pinkston stated that regarding the consent agenda item, he wondered if Mr. Mawyer could explain its purpose or when it would be addressed.

308 309

Mr. Mawyer stated that the reimbursement resolution was a prerequisite action mandated by the IRS in case we needed to reimburse expenses related to the pump station. He stated that if we decided to issue a bond for this specific purpose, we could subsequently reimburse themselves.

313

Mr. Pinkston asked if the bond would be determined after assessing what the insurance would cover and their responsibilities.

316

Mr. Mawyer stated that it would be to some degree. He stated that they had other projects requiring bond approval as well. He stated that Mr. Wood would present a bond resolution to them in June or July for authorization, including funds for this project to a certain extent. He stated that they hoped to have a clearer understanding of the required contribution amount by then. He stated that there may be limited contribution from our funds, but it was likely there would be some.

323

Mr. O'Connell asked if it was believed that those pumps could be reused.

- Mr. Mawyer stated that the pumps might be reusable, but it was not as likely for the electric pump motors. They possibly could be rewound. He stated that the manufacturer may provide pricing for rewinding these motors or for purchasing new ones. He stated that this was what they
- pricing for rewinding these motors of for purchasing new ones. The stated that this was what they

were expecting.

Mr. O'Connell asked if there was discussion about larger pumps. He stated that it sounded like there were different ones being considered.

Ms. Whitaker stated that they primarily were looking at the arrangement of the station, considering different stations built in deep well configurations like theirs, which were constructed using multiple methods. She stated that each method had its advantages and disadvantages. She stated that they also were looking at a thorough root cause analysis to ensure that all factors contributing to the issue were resolved individually. She stated that Mr. Mawyer had mentioned examining the HVAC, electrical, flow control gates, among other aspects. She stated that their focus regarding the pumps would be solely on those different configurations.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he did not believe the pumps were too small; they merely did not function as intended during the event. He stated that he thought they were not moving towards larger pumps.

Mr. Mawyer continued that the EPA had been quite active this month. He stated that on April 10, they issued the first National Primary Drinking Water Regulation for six PFAS compounds, which had previously been discussed as a draft. He stated there had been extensive national discussion about the proposed regulation. He stated that the maximum contaminant level established in the regulation was 4 parts per trillion for PFOA and PFOS compounds, and different levels for several other compounds. He stated that he mentioned earlier that one part per trillion was equivalent to one drop of water in 20 Olympic-sized swimming pools or one second of time in 31,700 years. He stated that it was a small quantity to say the least. He stated that the EPA had set this standard, and they must work towards meeting it.

Mr. Mawyer stated that their water system had generally been in good condition. He stated that last summer, the North Rivanna water treatment plant experienced an exceedance due to a PFOA concentration of 25 and a PFOS concentration of 6.5 parts per trillion. He stated that after a couple of weeks, they retested, and the concentrations were back below 4. He stated that they had not yet identified what caused this spike. He stated they remained cognizant about potential contaminants in their watershed that could contribute to PFAS in their water supply. He stated that these contaminants can travel through various means including through the air, from furniture, clothes, suntan lotion, and other sources, entering the reservoir.

Mr. Mawyer stated that staff believed the Authority was in a good position regarding the regulation's impact on them. He stated that they currently had a planned project for 2035 involving additional GAC vessels to their urban system. He noted that this new regulation might speed up the project's implementation, as compliance was required within five years. He stated that they must adhere to these standards within that timeframe. He stated that the EPA had declared PFOA and PFOS as hazardous substances under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) on April 19.

Mr. Mawyer stated that while they were still processing this information, he noted that it was essential to acknowledge that the American Water Works Association opposed this regulation.

He stated that they argued that the final rule from the EPA put water systems at risk, leading to increased costs for ratepayers and opened water systems up to costly litigations.

Mr. Mawyer stated that as a purveyor of PFOS, which came from their water treatment plant or wastewater treatment plant, they might face some regulatory oversight or litigation as a result. He stated that on a more positive note, the Virginia Department of Health had granted them \$3.1 million two years ago for granular activated carbon facilities at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant.

Mr. Mawyer stated that in 2023, they applied again and recently the Virginia Department of Health increased the second grant amount from \$260,000 to \$3.06 million, for an additional \$2.8 million for their Emerging Contaminant Program. He stated that these funds came from federal legislation passed through the BIL and were administered by the Virginia Department of Health. He stated that over these past two years, they had received over \$6 million in total, which would significantly support the installation of additional GAC filters at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant.

Mr. O'Connell asked if that saved the Authority \$6.6 million.

Mr. Mawyer stated yes. He stated that they would provide an update on all the grants they had applied for either in May or June. He stated that they had applied for a total of \$50 million to support the Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline Project. They had proposed hiring a Sustainability and Grants Coordinator in the personnel proposal for next year to focus on finding prospective grant opportunities and navigating the eligibility requirements for the various federal grant programs.

Mr. Mawyer stated that for instance, the Emerging Contaminant Program had awarded \$10 million last year, with half allocated to disadvantaged communities and the other half not tied to any specific community criteria. He noted that they took this into consideration when applying for that program, ensuring they met the eligibility requirements. He stated that moving forward, they would continue pursuing these grants, and the positive news about receiving \$6 M for the Crozet GAC project was encouraging. He stated that this concluded his report.

Ms. Mallek stated that regarding the EPA standards, she thought they should anticipate that the 4 parts per trillion would decrease as testing capability improved. She stated that she appreciated the storytelling of the one drop in the 20 pools analogy, but this was an example of how incredibly dangerous the material was. She stated that the proven health conditions have already been ignored, which is why it irritated her when she heard the AWWA people say it was too much. She stated that they could not use that argument because the health consequences for their customers were far more severe compared to that. She stated that she hoped they would do themselves a favor and avoid going down that path anymore.

Ms. Mallek stated that the "producer pays" element should follow the regulation first. She stated that the regulation must come before anything else. She stated communities across the country would not complain, asking not to punish those at the end of the line. She stated they should target the ones who produce this hazardous substance and have made billions of dollars over 60 years while knowing it was dangerous and not taking any action. She stated that she did not want

them to lose any ground on that issue.

422

Ms. Mallek commended the proactive approach regarding the grant. She stated there was a responsibility at the state level to distribute the funds promptly. She stated that the fact that they all were proactive from the start meant that they could help each other, which was fantastic. She stated that if they did not have the capacity or willingness to make that extra effort, then they were lagging behind.

428

Ms. Mallek stated that the people in small water systems, with only 3,000 customers, were in deep trouble because they had no staff and could not protect themselves. She stated that many of them had severely contaminated water and needed to inform their customers because if they did not, the customers could not protect themselves either. She stated she was grateful that the Authority was taking a responsible approach and putting their resources where their mouth was to safeguard their customers.

435

Mr. Gaffney asked if they had tested for the other three substances in their testing for PFAS.

437

Mr. Mawyer stated yes. He stated that Mr. Tungate would review those findings during the monthly assessment of their wastewater testing.

440

Mr. O'Connell stated that in the drinking water report that the City and County sent to their customers, the data from 2023 was included so that customers received the information as well.

443

Mr. Gaffney stated that Mr. Mawyer mentioned wastewater. He asked if there was any indication of when they would begin testing the discharge into rivers and streams.

446

Mr. Mawyer stated no, but it seemed like that may be the next regulatory requirement.

448

Mr. Gaffney asked if they needed to proactively test.

450

Mr. Mawyer stated that they were testing their wastewater and leachate from the landfill, which was brought to Moores Creek and processed through their wastewater treatment system. He stated that they knew how much PFAS came into the facility and how much goes out. He stated that this was where the CERCLA designation could be a problem, because if they released PFAS back into the streams of the US, they could be liable under the designated CERCLA provisions. He stated that to the wastewater question, it could force them to remove PFAS from wastewater.

457

Mr. Gaffney asked what the alternative was. He asked if they would have to run that through the GAC.

460

Mr. Mawyer stated that currently, GAC was one of the leading technologies for doing that. He stated that they could double or triple their GAC facilities from what they have now.

463

Mr. Gaffney stated that they may recall the \$250 million cost for unknown projects Mr. Mawyer had shared a year or two ago. He stated that this was what it may cost.

De	Dede Smith stated that she wanted to reiterate that, as a City customer, their only protection from				
	PFAS was the original community water plan developed by the Nature Conservancy, which				
	ecommended responsibly filling the Ragged Mountain Reservoir with the only clean water they ave had for over 100 years. She stated that the water source, the Moormans River, was truly				
-		tected against contamination by PFAS. She stated that she understood that it seems way too, but the financial requirements since adopting the nine-mile pipeline have only increased any look to be compounded again due to PFAS. She stated that this was just shocking. She			
sta	ited t	hat she knew it was not great news, but thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak.			
<i>7</i> .	RE.	SPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENT			
		vere no responses.			
_					
8.	CO.	NSENT AGENDA			
	a.	Staff Report on Finance			
	h	Staff Report on Operations			
	υ.	Sugj Report on Operations			
	c.	Staff Report on CIP Projects			
	d.	Staff Report on Administration and Communications			
	e.	Staff Report on Wholesale Metering			
	f.	Staff Report on Drought Monitoring			
	g.	Approval to Amend Professional Engineering Services Work Authorization –			
		Administration Building Renovation and Addition Project – Short Elliot Hendrickson			
		Inc.			
	1.	Approval of Construction Contract Award and Amondment to the EV 24 Capital			
	rı.	Approval of Construction Contract Award and Amendment to the FY 24 Capital Improvement Plan: Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades – Anderson Construction			
		Inc.			
	i.	Approval of Reimbursement Resolution – Rivanna Pump Station Rehabilitation			
		Tappieral of Termon sement Tesetimon Turuma Tump Station Termonical			

509510 a. Presentation: Succe

9. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Presentation: Succession & Strategic Planning Review Bill Mawyer, P.E., Executive Director

507

508

- Mr. Mawyer stated that during the Board meeting last month, they made numerous references to 512
- Succession and Strategic Planning strategies that were presented to the Board in 2023. He stated 513
- that he would now provide a brief review to refresh everyone's memory on this plan. He stated 514
- that it encompassed both their Succession Management and Strategic Planning initiatives, 515
- focusing on developing staff internally to replace retiring senior staff and fulfill priorities 516
- outlined in their Strategic Plan, such as outward communications, environmental stewardship, 517
- and infrastructure planning. 518

- Mr. Mawyer stated in their succession planning, the objective was to foster organizational 520 growth and develop their staff internally so they would be eligible for future opportunities. He 521 mentioned that this could be seen through instances like Ms. Houchens' seamless transition to a 522 higher role after Ms. Bowles' departure. He stated that they had a Leadership Development 523
- Program managed by Betsy Nemeth and Leah Beard, who were effectively training the next 524
- generation of leaders and providing them with ample opportunities. 525

526

Mr. Mawyer stated that recently, they provided staff with various opportunities to showcase their 527 skills, such as Ms. Whitaker presenting the Capital Improvement Budget, Mr. Wood presenting 528 the Operating Budget, and Mr. McKalips' Solid Waste Budget presentation. He stated that by 529 doing so, they aimed to prepare staff for advancement within the organization. He stated that in 530 2023, when examining organization functionally, they sought to integrate both succession 531 planning and strategic initiatives for a comprehensive approach. 532

533 534

535

536

537

538

Mr. Mawyer stated that in 2024, there were three divisions within the water sewer area: Finance and Administration, Engineering and Maintenance, and Operations. He noted that Solid Waste was a separate division. He stated that Administration handled responsibilities such as payroll, training, budgeting, billing, document management, and Information Technology. He stated that he and Ms. Anama were doing all the media communications, grants, managing the Safety Manager, and acting as FOIA Officer.

539 540

- Mr. Mawyer stated that organizational changes were made to functionally improve the structure. 541
- He stated that starting in July of 2023, the Board approved revisions which created an 542
- Administration and Communication division, with Ms. Nemeth selected through a competitive 543
- 544 process as Director. He stated that Safety was moved into this new division, and grants were
- moved into Engineering and Maintenance. He stated that Finance and Administration became 545
- Finance and Information Technology, with three focus areas as they still had Procurement. He 546
- stated that they moved Ms. Houchens' position to the Environmental Services department within 547 Operations.
- 548

549 550

551

552

Mr. Mawyer stated that the restructuring led to two management changes for water and wastewater treatment plants. He stated that the Central Laboratory and an Industrial Pretreatment program were introduced, and he expected the Board would hear more about them over time. He stated that this organizational change started in 2024.

553 554

Ms. Mallek asked which box on the chart was for Water Resources. 555

556

Mr. Mawyer stated that it was the box titled "Water Supply Management." 557

Mr. Pinkston asked if Human Resources was in the first column.

Mr. Mawyer stated that HR was in the workforce management area of the Administration & Communications Division. He stated that Ms. Nemeth's group had HR, communications, and media releases. He added that her department also managed security badges. He stated that in FY 2023, they crafted a five-year plan that would add 18 positions. He stated that they filled the four positions approved for FY 2024.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had hired Tanner Wright as their IT Technician, Betsy Nemeth as their Director of Administration and Communication, and Josh Bowen as their Engineering Inspector Supervisor. He stated that there was a change in priorities; they moved the Wastewater Operator to FY 2025 and advanced the Finance Manager to FY 2024. He stated that they were pleased to have hired Stephanie Deal for that role. He stated that for the four positions for FY 2025, they would be hiring a Deputy Executive Director, an Outreach and Communications Coordinator in the Administration & Communications Division, a Wastewater Operator, and a Sustainability and Grants Coordinator in the Engineering & Maintenance Division.

Mr. Mawyer stated that in the first two years, they focused on organizational structure and management positions. He stated that for the next three years, they would concentrate on staff-level positions such as a Chemist, Accountant, and Water Operator. He stated that they planned to hire another Engineer, Mechanic, and Chemist for research and compliance in FY 2028. He stated their goal was to ensure that they remained a forward-looking utility and that their staff stayed informed about technological advancements.

Mr. Mawyer stated that when considering the treatment of wastewater and water for the next 10 to 20 years, and as they planned to build the necessary infrastructure, it was crucial to understand the available technology and identify what works effectively. He stated the Authority did a great job a decade ago by devising a plan to install the GAC, which now yielded significant benefits. He stated that they aimed to remain at the leading edge, rather than the bleeding edge, of technology. He stated that regarding the PFAS issue, GAC was one of the foremost technologies for removing PFAS contaminants.

Mr. Mawyer stated that returning to FY 2025, they discussed the role of the Water Resources Manager, which had been reassigned to the Operations & Environmental Services Division. He stated this change was made to emphasize their commitment to Environmental Services and align with strategic planning suggestions. He stated that the water system management had been further strengthened through the appointment of Ms. Houchens in the role of Water Resources Coordinator.

Mr. Mawyer stated that in their proposal for July 2024, they aimed to further enhance their organizational structure by introducing a Deputy Executive Director to facilitate succession planning for the Executive Director role.

Mr. Mawyer stated that in FY26, there would be additional positions proposed for recruitment and development within their HR group, and a Safety Associate requested by the Solid Waste

Board. He stated that there would be two Solid Waste positions. He stated that the IT Department was experiencing growing needs due to the increasing control of their systems; thus, they were seeking capable and ample staff to manage these. He stated that they anticipated a major construction program and, as such, proposed an additional Engineering Inspector in FY26.

Mr. Mawyer stated that regarding changes for FY27, there were a few positions that included a Chemist for Industrial Pretreatment. He stated that recently, they had been examining the fats, oils, and grease program, as well as the biological products present in wastewater, to determine whether industries should be required to have pretreatment or if their treatment needed expansion. He explained that a preliminary report suggested investing mega dollars in additional aeration bases; however, after reevaluating the data, they believed this was not as imminent as initially thought. He stated that nonetheless, as their community's population grew, they would eventually need to expand wastewater facilities.

Mr. O'Connell asked if the new positions on the organizational chart were displayed in pink, and added positions were in green.

Mr. Mawyer stated yes. He stated that it was about three to four positions per year in Water and Sewer. He stated that in FY 2029, it was more of the same, with an Accounting Associate, a Mechanic, and another Water Operator. He stated that they may look for a Manager in their Regulatory Compliance group. He stated that in 2030, there was a major change to the organization. He stated that Finance and IT would become separate divisions, each with its own Director.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they planned to expand their management team by adding a second Deputy Executive Director who would oversee Administration, Finance, and IT divisions while the current Deputy Executive Director continued to manage Engineering & Maintenance, and Operations & Environmental Services groups. He stated that one would focus more on Operations and the other would be more for Administration.

Mr. Mawyer stated that in 2035, they planned to accommodate ten years of staff growth by ensuring that their upcoming building addition could house the anticipated expansion. He stated that this included dividing their divisions further: adding a Legal and Procurement division with an in-house attorney, moving Procurement from Finance to the Legal office along with Regulatory Compliance. He stated that they would separate Operations and Environmental Services. He stated that Operations would focus on their ten water and wastewater treatment plants, while Environmental Services would continue to grow in testing, regulatory compliance, and internal treatment expertise.

Mr. Mawyer stated that there would be 169 positions at the end of this plan, up from 102 in 2023. He stated that this would be a 50% increase in the organization over the 12 years of this plan. He stated that this growth represented a vision and a plan that may not unfold perfectly; however, it provided them with a target for consideration as they progressed. He stated that returning to their discussion from last month regarding the budget and succession planning, this strategic plan aligned with their ongoing discussions.

Ms. Mallek asked if the Grants Coordinator would begin in the new year or if they were on staff currently.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the plan was to hire someone for that position between December 2024 and January 2025 (Note: this strategy has been updated and the Grants position will be hired in first quarter of FY 25 while federal grant funds are abundant).

Ms. Mallek stated that the benefit of this approach was that the EPA's nearest regional office was located in Philadelphia. She stated that it had a complete staff of technical advisors. She noted that establishing a personal relationship with the staff there would significantly expedite answering questions and ensuring smooth operations.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they would look for our Grants person to help them with contacts, available grants, and all those other aspects.

Ms. Mallek stated that in the past several months, it had become evident that increased focus on outreach and communication would be highly beneficial. She stated that both the Moormans River aspect and the pump station issue illustrated this point, as everyone eagerly sought accurate information. She stated that by providing concrete facts rather than speculative assumptions, they could streamline the process and significantly improve its efficiency. She stated she was intrigued by the mention of pre-treatment initiatives from industry. She asked if they could consider requiring industries to do their own cleanup sooner so to avoid having the responsibility be put on RWSA.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the analysis would cover whether they should require the industries to complete the task, or if RWSA should do it for a large group of customers. He stated that they could also consider fees. He stated that currently, their sewer regulations include the option to charge fees for discharges with excessive Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) or high-strength waste. He stated that they had spent a year examining whether to raise these fees and at what level.

Mr. Mawyer stated that if discharges have a higher BOD, indicating stronger waste, they could be charged an additional fee for the extra treatment required. He stated that implementing such fees may not be popular with some community members, as in the hypothetical example of vineyards being required to pay more due to increased BOD levels. He stated that they must consider how to address the potential influx of high-strength waste from industries like Pepsi-Cola, which is a significant contributor to the City, and decide whether to impose fees or absorb the costs within their CIP.

Ms. Mallek stated that there was a certain public belief that accountability should go with individuals rather than socializing the risk and privatizing the benefit.

Mr. Pinkston asked if they had received many federal grants in the past. He asked if the reporting, management, and compliance requirements were involved.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they would be subject to the federal Davis-Bacon wage requirements and

the Buy American, Build American requirements. He stated that they had not initiated any of those grant projects yet; however, they were well-prepared. He stated that in his previous federal experience, he was accustomed to abiding by such stipulations. He stated that they could handle those management requirements. He stated that the wastewater Enhanced Nutrient Removal construction projects in the past included federal grants and administrative requirements, which staff successfully managed.

701702703

704

705 706

707

708

696

697

698

699

700

Ms. Whitaker stated that the Chesapeake Bay Act was implemented through state legislation, alongside Davis-Bacon and various procurement and reporting requirements. She stated that typically, these grants involved visits from state regulators. She noted that they had gained familiarity with such processes, but each program came with its unique set of rules and requirements. She stated that now, they were designing the Beaver Creek Dam and Spillway Modifications project with expectations of receiving a federal grant which would include the federal administration requirements.

709 710

Mr. Gaffney stated that was one of the first questions the contractors asked at the recent information meeting was if federal funds were involved in any of the upcoming projects in the next five years, because it would significantly impact how they bid the project.

714

Mr. O'Connell asked if it was correct that Rivanna was formed due to a federal grant, and the City and County merged to create Rivanna.

717

Mr. Mawyer stated that he understood the City and County created the Authority in 1972 in order to qualify for federal wastewater funds.

720

Ms. Mallek asked if the impact on contractors would be the amount of data they have to collect.

722

Mr. Gaffney stated that it pertained to how much they would have to pay in labor costs (Davis-Bacon wage requirements).

725 726

Mr. Mawyer stated that it may also pertain to how much they would have to pay to get piping and other materials that were made in America.

727 728

Mr. Mawyer stated that currently, there were no federal funds in their major projects, but if they could get a grant for the Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline project, it would become a federally funded initiative.

731

Mr. O'Connell stated that the biggest one they had now was the Beaver Creek Dam project, which would have all the federal requirements.

735

736 Presentation: Wastewater Program Review

737

David Tungate, Director of Operations & Environmental Services, stated that today they would discuss the wastewater program. He stated that the picture on the slide was taken by one of their drone pilots in the IT department and showed an aerial of the Moores Creek facility. He stated that the Moores Creek property included about 80 acres. He stated that there are four wastewater treatment plants: Moores Creek, with a full treatment capacity of 15 MGD; the Stone Robinson

Elementary School facility, with a treatment capacity of 7,000 gallons daily; Glenmore

vastewater treatment facility with a treatment capacity of 0.381 MGD; and the Scottsville

wastewater treatment plant that has a two-tiered permit for 0.100 MGD and 0.20 MGD.

Mr. Tungate stated that the Moores Creek facility has two influent pump stations: one is known as the Moores Creek pump station that is located adjacent to the front gate, and the other is the Rivanna pump station located on the opposite side of the facility close to the Woolen Mills development. He stated that both pump stations receive wastewater from the community and pump it to the headworks facility to begin the treatment process.

Mr. Tungate stated that the map of their collection system showed the Rivanna pump station handled approximately 60% of the collection system, while the Moores Creek pump station takes care of the southern half of Charlottesville and the ACSA collection area. He stated that Moores Creek pump station also receives sewage from the Crozet area through a series of 4 lift stations.

Mr. Tungate stated that the Moores Creek facility is divided into a "wet side" and "dry side"; the slide photo displays the "wet side", with their administration building visible in the background. He stated that as the water is pumped from the influent pump stations to the headworks and then flows by gravity into the primary clarifiers.

Mr. Tungate pointed out the aeration basins to the right adjacent to I-64. He stated that wastewater flows to the secondary clarifiers where the water is held after the aeration process. He noted their large chemical storage silos and three clarifiers visible in the picture, the fourth is not in the picture.

Mr. Tungate stated that moving on to the "dry side" of the Moores Creek facility, he pointed out the three primary digesters up on the hill as one entered the site. He noted that there was a smaller digester with a green roof that holds methane gas generated from the primary digesters. He stated that another smaller digester stores the sludge before it is sent to the solid handling building for dewatering. He stated that the wastewater then proceeds to the tertiary filters, which remove any remaining particulates and fine material in the water.

Mr. Tungate stated that wastewater is then directed to the ultraviolet (UV) channels, where it must be free of particles for the ultraviolet lamps to provide disinfection effectively. He stated that the treated wastewater is channeled to their outfall on Moores Creek. He stated that lastly, a methane sphere and a flame that burns off excess methane gas. The flame illuminates the plant during the night and in winter when the trees are devoid of leaves.

Mr. O'Connell asked if there was any filtering at the end of the process or if it was just UV.

Mr. Tungate stated that wastewater first passes through the tertiary filters, which remove specific particulates, then it proceeds to UV treatment, then out through the effluent channel to Moores

Creek.

Ms. Mallek asked if the testing of the water was done after the tertiary filter and before it went

out of the discharge.

Mr. Tungate stated that he would show them a picture later, but they collected their final effluent sample after the UV treatment.

He stated that they had influent pump stations: Rivanna and Moores Creek. He stated that these stations had grinders that made any larger materials smaller so they could be pumped. He stated that at the headworks, they first had band screens to remove materials from the water because they did not want insoluble materials in the wastewater process.

Mr. Tungate stated that this debris came off the screens, was dewatered and compacted, then dumped into a dumpster. He stated that they also had a grit system that removed heavier inorganic material from the wastewater. He added that the higher the flow rates from the influent pump stations, typically the greater amount of grit was captured in the dumpster. He stated that normally the dumpster was emptied once a week.

Mr. Tungate stated that after the band screens and grit removal, they had primary clarifiers for the settling of sludge and most of the solids. He stated that the primary clarifiers also removed floating oils and grease. He added that there is an odor control system to remove foul air under the aluminum covers of the primary clarifiers. He stated that there is a robust odor control system in the series of four pump stations that pump sewage from Crozet to Moores Creek. He stated that pump station number four was situated at the intersection of routes 240 and 250 in Crozet. The slide showed the odor control system and the flow equalization tank (FET) in the background. The FET is a flow equalization tank and stores excess wastewater during a storm. During high flow events in the sewer system the water is stored in the tank, once flow rate is reduced, the stored water will be slowly returned to the pump station for ultimate delivery to Moores Creek.

Mr. Tungate stated that the image displayed represented the odor control system, marking the first of three odor control systems on the Crozet force main. He stated that to mitigate odors, RWSA spends approximately \$390,000 annually along route 250, coming east from Crozet.

Mr. Tungate showed an image of their Crozet odor control equipment at pump station two. He stated that they worked with a vendor to procure this service and applied Bioxide and hydrogen peroxide to combat odors in the Crozet system.

He stated that the slide showed the aeration process occurring in the aeration basins, which was vital for microbe growth and nutrient reduction. He explained that there are multiple chambers and areas within these basins allowed them to adjust the location of air as needed. He stated that subsequently, secondary clarifiers facilitated additional sludge settlement. The liquid continues to be pumped to the tertiary filters. He stated that this picture was one of their four secondary clarifiers.

Mr. Pinkston asked how they got the sludge out.

Mr. Tungate stated that the sludge pumps would transfer sludge from the secondary clarifiers to

the digesters, which he would discuss next. He stated that the sludge is collected on the bottom of the clarifier. He stated that the WW operations staff was very prescriptive in how they run the sludge inventory in the clarifiers. The secondary clarifiers are designed to allow the sludge to settle to the bottom and then be pumped to the digesters.

Mr. Pinkston asked if something similar happened at the primary clarifiers.

Mr. Tungate stated yes. He stated that the sludge is pumped directly from the bottom of the primary clarifiers to the digesters. He stated that the water proceeded from the secondary clarifiers to the sand filters. The function of the sand filters is to remove any small particles to make the UV treatment processes more efficient and effective. After the water is treated through the UV light channels for disinfection it flows to the outfall into Moores Creek. The Moores Creek outfall is visible on the right of the slide. He stated that to answer Ms. Mallek's earlier question, RWSA WW staff collect their effluent sample after UV treatment but before the outfall to Moores Creek. He stated that final effluent sample is the final quality check on the treatment plant's effectiveness.

Mr. Pinkston asked if the sludge from the clarifiers goes to the digesters.

Mr. Tungate stated yes. He stated that the sludge was sent to digesters, where it stayed for 15 to 20 days, during which microbes break down the sludge. He stated that this resulted in a reduced sludge volume due to anaerobic digestion. He stated that the digested sludge was then processed through one of the two centrifuges, which operated at night, six to seven days a week, and produced a byproduct resembling topsoil.

Mr. Tungate stated that they used five trailers for waste disposal, filling two per night. He stated that the material was transported to McGill Environmental in Waverly, Virginia, where it becomes a commercially available compost. He stated that in 2023, Moores Creek processed 14,000 tons of material and made 545 trips to Waverly, Virginia. He stated that they had an agreement with McGill Environmental to allow them to haul back a portion of the finished compost to help offset costs. He stated that the full trailers of biosolids are temporarily stored at the compost yard prior to transportation to McGill Environmental.

Mr. Tungate stated that regarding wastewater compliance, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality allocated 282,994 pounds of nitrogen and 18,525 pounds of phosphorus annually to the Moores Creek AWRRF. For example, the slide shows the March 2024 nitrogen and phosphorus data that indicated Moores Creek discharged 7,600 pounds of nitrogen and 695 pounds of phosphorus. He stated that they were currently under their allotment for both nutrients, with a discharge of only 32% of their nitrogen and 19% of their phosphorus allocation utilized. He stated that RWSA WW staff took pride in the fact that they had only discharged 12% of their allocated nitrogen and 4% of their allocated phosphorus during the first three months of 2024.

Mr. Tungate stated that this allowed RWSA to accumulate excess nitrogen and phosphorus credits, which RWSA can sell in the Virginia nutrient exchange program. He stated that the price per pound of nitrogen and phosphorus varied but could generate revenue between \$60,000 and \$100,000 annually. He stated that lesser-performing wastewater treatment plants in the

James River watershed could purchase those credits.

881

- Mr. Tungate stated that the wastewater treatment facilities were regulated by the Virginia
- Department of Environmental Quality, and the current slide showed a snapshot of their testing
- requirements for Moores Creek. He stated that dissolved oxygen and pH tests were performed
- daily. He stated that E. coli samples were required four times per week, and they were required
- to have less than 126 cells per milliliter. He stated that their discharge was typically less than 10
- cells per milliliter of E. Coli.

888

- 889 Mr. Tungate stated that the Moores Creek plant must be staffed by licensed Operators and have
- personnel present 24/7. He stated that each shift consisted of two individuals working 12-hour
- shifts. He stated that adjacent to the Moores Creek pump station, there was a septic receiving
- station. He stated that in 2023, it processed approximately 9 million gallons of septage with over
- 6,100 transactions during the calendar year.

894895

Mr. Pinkston asked what Mr. Tungate meant by transactions.

896

- Mr. Tungate stated that there was a requirement that any septic disposal at the RWSA septage receiving station required a login and a PIN so RWSA could determine the source of each
- disposal for invoice payment. He stated that there were 6,100 individual disposals in CY 2023.

900 901

Mr. Pinkston asked if these were individuals who participated.

902

Mr. Mawyer stated that it was usually commercial haulers delivering septage from rural septic tanks.

905

Mr. Tungate stated that it could be a septic hauler that brings in truckloads of waste, portable toilets companies, or other providers or haulers that bring in septage.

908 909

Mr. Gaffney stated that there was nothing from the City.

910

911 Ms. Mallek stated that the County paid extra to cover the infrastructure cost for those septage receiving facilities.

913

- Mr. Tungate stated that regarding their wastewater treatment plants, he would discuss how much
- they treat at these plants. He stated that at the Moores Creek facility, last year, RWSA WW staff
- treated 3.5 billion gallons, 44 million gallons at Glenmore, 20 million gallons at Scottsville, and
- approximately 610,000 gallons at Stone Robinson.

918

Mr. Pinkston asked if Mr. Tungate stated 3.5 billion gallons.

920

- Mr. Tungate stated yes, 3.5 billion gallons. He stated that they had 610,000 gallons at Stone
- Robinson. He stated that because Stone Robinson was a school and was not in session during the
- summer, they could not keep the plant alive, so they actually fed rabbit food to keep the bugs
- alive so they could continue using the plant when school staff returned in August.

Mr. Tungate stated that their facilities had varying sizes. He stated that Moores Creek, their largest facility, had a capacity of 15 MGD of full treatment capacity. He stated that when they experienced higher flow rates over 15 MGD, they could abbreviate the treatment process. He stated that they stored excess wastewater in holding ponds and changed the aeration treatment process to bypass some treatments for efficient flow through the plant. He stated that there were nine total Operators assigned to this facility. He stated that Glenmore, Scottsville, and Stone-Robinson facilities were visited daily, but not staffed 24/7.

Mr. Tungate stated that each plant had one Operator per shift, two shifts per week, with approximately four hours daily for Glenmore and Scottsville, and one hour per day at Stone-Robinson. He stated that one person usually covered these three "County" facilities in a day. He stated they had two Relief Operators trained to run all plants, who received a premium on their hourly wages and were available for emergencies or additional help. He stated that their management staff included a Manager, Assistant Manager, and Supervisor, making the total wastewater operations staff 16.

Mr. Tungate stated that they were proud of their licensed Operators; their highest-ranking is Class 1 license holders. He stated that currently, they had one unlicensed trainee who had not been with them long and needed to take an exam after six months of hands-on experience. He stated that they had one open position that was in the process of being filled. He stated that out of their 16 staff members, seven held a Class 1 license, while 13 possessed a Class 2 or higher license.

Mr. Pinkston asked what the entry-level educational prerequisites or qualifications were for an individual just starting in the field.

Mr. Tungate stated that most of their Operators possessed a high school diploma. He stated that possessing post-high school education accelerated the licensing process even more, potentially reducing the time required. He stated that a prerequisite for taking these licensing exams was six months of hands-on experience, after which individuals with post-high school education could progress faster.

Mr. Pinkston stated that what had particularly stood out to him during his time on this Board was the fact that the organization served as an excellent starting point and foundation for individuals seeking to launch and develop their careers.

Mr. Tungate stated that they discussed this topic frequently, as many of the Operators he had interviewed, both for water and wastewater positions, often mentioned that they were unaware of the water or wastewater Operator jobs prior to joining. He stated that most of these individuals have transitioned from other industries, such as night managers from Sheetz and McDonald's, hydrogeologists, teachers, and a civil engineer.

Mr. Tungate stated that this diverse group of Water and Wastewater Operators is undeniably fascinating, yet the position is not suited for everyone due to the long hours and 24/7 nature of the job. He stated that a common theme emerges when speaking with potential candidates: they appreciate working for the community, contributing to its well-being, and finding purpose in

their daily tasks of providing clean water and treating wastewater for the community.

Mr. Pinkston stated that they should keep young adults in mind for these positions.

Mr. Tungate stated that he would discuss the industrial pre-treatment program briefly. He stated that the purpose of the program is to safeguard the sewer system and the operations in their treatment plants by enforcing discharge limits as mandated by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. He stated that they implement a Pre-treatment Program that adheres to the provisions of the Clean Water Act of 1972.

Mr. Tungate stated that permitted businesses submitted a report concerning the Pre-treatment Program on January 31 for the previous year. He stated that Pre-treatment Programs involve examining issues such as oil and grease buildup. He stated that RWSA staff has had discussions with ACSA staff, Jeremy Lynn and Tim Brown, about the Grease Trap Program at restaurants. He stated that the program also pertained to heavy metals, some nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus, pH levels, and carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (CBOD).

Mr. Tungate stated that there has been an increasing problem with oils and grease being poured down drains, which subsequently coagulates. He stated that in addition to identifying categorical significant industrial users, such as metal finishing and semiconductor manufacturing, they also consider non-categorical users that discharge more than 25,000 gallons a day or have the potential to adversely affect treatment processes at the plant. He stated that they had examined the possibility of regulating businesses with processes that discharged pollutants of concern, including restaurants, breweries, food preparation facilities, and soft drink production sites extensively in the last year.

Mr. Tungate stated that currently, three significant industrial users, Virginia Diodes, Mikro Systems, and Northrop Grumman, were part of their system, with permits issued on July 31, 2022. He stated that these entities were required to submit a semi-annual report to lab staff in June and December of each year.

Mr. Tungate stated that the RWSA wastewater departmental budget amounted to \$22.9 million, with a significant portion allocated to debt service. He stated that in Fiscal Year 2023, they managed approximately 3.5 billion gallons of wastewater at a cost of approximately \$0.67 per hundred gallons of wastewater.

1008 Mr. Gaffney stated that Mr. Tungate's presentation was very impressive.

1010 Ms. Mallek asked if Mr. Tungate could describe the grit system a bit more in depth.

Mr. Tungate stated that the flow came into the plant from the pump stations, it is pumped to the headworks where the grit system takes the grit out of the wastewater. The grit system uses a system where the heavy grits particles settle to the bottom and are separated.

Ms. Mallek stated that she would understand it better if it was coming in from the freshwater source, where the turbidity was occurring. She asked if this was after use.

1018 Mr. Tungate stated that this was what was coming in from Rivanna and Moores Creek pump 1019 stations. 1020 1021 Ms. Mallek asked if this was on the wastewater side. 1022 1023 Mr. Tungate stated yes. He stated that they had seen things such as cell phones, pagers, and other 1024 larger items. 1025 1026 Mr. Mawyer asked where the grit may come from. 1027 1028 Mr. Tungate stated that it could be from aggregate from paving operations or small breaks in the 1029 sewer collection system. He stated that materials may get washed in. 1030 1031 Ms. Mallek stated that they had a separated system, so she thought they should not be getting 1032 things from the sides of the streets when it rained. 1033 1034 Mr. Tungate stated that was correct. 1035 1036 Ms. Mallek stated that she knew they were spending a lot of money sending stuff to Waverly. 1037

Ms. Mallek stated that she knew they were spending a lot of money sending stuff to Waverly.

She asked if Waverly was treating the biosolids further than what is done at Moores Creek. She stated that she did not understand why we were not just selling compost here. She stated that she understood there was an odor issue, but that should be taken care of in the process and be removed.

Mr. Tungate stated that it would be an expensive process to create compost and control odors at Moores Creek. We produced Class B biosolids, which could not be land applied due to the presence of pathogens. He stated that in contrast, Class A biosolids could be safely land applied.

Mr. Mawyer asked how they could get to Class A.

Mr. Tungate explained that to achieve Class A status, biosolids underwent additional heating and drying processes. He noted that a wastewater plant in Harrisonburg had installed a drying facility for this purpose, resulting in a Class A product. He stated that they had discussed the land application of biosolids extensively, recognizing its relevance to waste management. He added that our operations run continuously, irrespective of weather conditions, which he believed made the RWSA approach quite effective. He stated that they must move the solids out 365 days a year, regardless of weather and other conditions.

Ms. Mallek asked if this was as much about volume removal as anything else.

Mr. Tungate stated yes. He stated that they did not store anything at Moores Creek except for the biosolids in the trailer from overnight.

Mr. O'Connell asked if they heated the material at Waverly to get it to Class A.

1042

1043

1044

1045 1046

1047 1048

1056

1061

- Mr. Tungate stated that McGill Environmental combined various materials during the 1064
- composting process, which includes drying and heating stages to create a product suitable for 1065
- land application and or commercial use. He stated that McGill Environmental, who sold the 1066
- compost under a trade name, had utilized this product at one of the Washington Redskins 1067
- facilities. He stated that McGill incorporate grease, recycled money from the Federal Reserve, 1068
- tobacco waste products from Philip Morris, and a series of biosolids from other wastewater 1069
- facilities. 1070

Mr. Mawyer stated that they mixed the compost materials and let them dry. 1072

1073

1074 Ms. Mallek asked if it was just sitting out somewhere.

1075

Mr. Tungate stated that they had large Quonset huts with blowers. 1076

1077

Mr. O'Connell asked if it was visible from the road as one passed by Waverly. 1078

1079

Mr. Tungate stated that it was along Beef Steak Road in Waverly, and it is unknown if it is 1080 visible from a road. 1081

1082

1083 Mr. O'Connell stated that he had driven by the facility a week or two ago and did not realize what it was. 1084

1085

Ms. Mallek stated that regarding the bypass system that was used during heavy rainfall, that was 1086 to hold the extra volume at the other storage pond, and it was not put into the river. She asked if 1087 it was held until it could be processed. 1088

1089

- 1090 Mr. Tungate stated that was correct. He stated that if they knew there was a storm coming,
- RWSA WW staff would pump down the holding ponds, so they had places to store excess 1091
- 1092 wastewater.

1093

- 1094 Ms. Mallek stated that the equalization tank was used for that purpose. She stated that perhaps in
- the communications materials, staff could share information about how not everything is 1095
- 1096 flushable. She stated that anyone with a home septic system would never dream of doing that, or
- they would have huge expenses. She stated that it was not fair for people to think they could put 1097
- whatever they want into the public sewer. She stated that regarding these categorical SIUs, she 1098
- would like to know if that was because they were using degreasers for the sheet metal in the 1099
- 1100 factory.

1101

- 1102 Mr. Tungate stated yes, it was a chemical process, and any degreasing facilities should be regulated. 1103
- 1104
- 1105
- Mr. Mawyer asked what the issue was with those chemicals. 1106

- Mr. Tungate stated that those chemicals could disrupt the biological activity at the wastewater 1107 treatment plants.
- 1108

1111	treatment process.	
1112		
1113	Ms. Mallek stated that the degreaser issues were why they had the ACME vis	ible records area
1114	and the EPA Superfund site near the airport. She stated that they had contami	nated the ground ir
1115	those areas, so she was glad that they had a better handle on it now.	
1116	16	
1117	10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA	
1118	Mr. Mawyer stated that there would be a reception for Mr. O'Connell after th	e meeting, and he
1119	hoped everyone would join in the celebration of his service.	
1120	20	
1121	21 11. CLOSED MEETING	
1122	There was no reason for a closed meeting.	
1123	23	
1124		
1125	At 3:45 p.m., Mr. Pinkston moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna	a Water and Sewe
1126	Authority. Mr. O'Connell seconded the motion, which passed unanimous	sly (7-0).
1127	.27	
1128	Respectfully submitted,	
1129	29	
1130		
1131	Mr. Jeff Richardson	
1132	Secretary - Treasurer	

Mr. Mawyer clarified further that it could kill the bugs essential to the biological wastewater



1110